

500 New Jersey Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001 800.874.6500

www.nar.realtor

National RPAC
Disbursement Trustees

Steve LaRue, Chair

Nancy Riley, Vice Chair

Philip McGinnis, Imm. Past Chair

Stephen Antoni, Region 1

Kim Skumanick, Region 2

Bradley Boland, Region 3

David Kent, Region 4

Linda Porterfield, Region 5

Garry Reggish, Region 6

Dan Wagner, Region 7

Jeff Nelson, Region 8

Kathy Fowler, Region 9

Vicki Fullerton, Region 10

Holly Mabery, Region 11

Patti Hill, Region 12

Gregg Pawlik, Region 13

Eric Sain, Liaison



REALTOR® is a registered collective membership mark which may be used only by real estate professionals who are members of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® and subscribe to its strict Code of Ethics.

January 2018

Dear Candidate,

The National Association of REALTORS® PAC advocates on behalf of 1.3 million REALTORS® from across the United States, who seek to promote homeownership and private property rights on Capitol Hill. NAR is the nation's largest trade association and RPAC was the largest political action committee in the 2016 cycle. We are committed to supporting the REALTOR® Party – candidates from both sides of the aisle dedicated to standing up for America's homeowners.

REALTORS® consider homeownership the cornerstone of the American Dream. We see first-hand the pride of first-time homebuyers who make the leap to own a home and invest in their own future. We know that homeownership is an investment in communities as well—communities are safer and more stable with residents who own a stake in their success.

On behalf of the National Association of REALTORS® PAC Trustees, thank you for your interest in public service and your participation in our candidate screening process. Attached to this letter is a questionnaire that we respectfully ask you to complete and return to your respective state REALTOR® association. The questionnaire covers issues and areas of concern and we look forward to your responses. For detailed information on our policy positions related to these issues, please visit our website (www.nar.realtor). Your responses to this questionnaire will only be used by our trustees and staff to inform our support and will remain confidential.

RPAC requires that all open seat and challenger candidates seeking an endorsement or funding from RPAC submit a completed questionnaire. Your responses on this questionnaire will help guide our trustees in making their decision. Current incumbent members of the U.S. House & Senate are not required to submit a questionnaire.

Each state's REALTOR® association PAC trustees send recommendations to NAR RPAC for consideration in federal races. RPAC does not consider party affiliation in its decision to support a candidate. Your state or local association trustees may also be interested in a candidate interview to get to know you and your stance on real estate issues better.

If you travel to Washington, please consider reaching out to our lobbying and political team for a brief meeting as well. I am sure they would enjoy the opportunity to get to know you as well. However, RPAC policy precludes NAR staff from meeting with challengers in a non-election year or once RPAC has announced support for an incumbent. Open seat candidates may meet at any time.

NAR political staff contacts by state are listed on our <u>website</u>. Please contact your respective political representative or state association Government Affairs Director with any questions.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire, and we look forward to working together to promote the American Dream of homeownership.

Sincerely,

Steve LaRue

2018 Chairman, REALTORS® Political Action Committee

Section I: Campaign

Candidate's Full Name:	
Campaign Headquarters Street Address (Do	not use Post Box address):
City: State: Z	IP: Phone #:
Campaign Manager:	Phone #:
Campaign Treasurer:	Phone #:
State/Congressional District:	Political Party:
For current office holders, term expires:	
Curent Position/Occupation:	
Previous public offices or appointments:	
Section II. Financial	
How much has been raised?	As of (Date):
Current Cash-on-hand:	As of (Date):
How much do you expect to spend? • (Please choose range for each election)	on: <\$250,000; \$250,000-\$500,000; over \$500,000)
Primary:	General:
Are you willing to accept PAC funding? Yes	s No

Section III. Basis for Candidacy

Please	give three (3) reasons why you are running:
List m	najor endorsements received for this race:
Section	on IV. Organizational
1.	What previous relationship have you had with the REALTOR® association?
2.	Please name REALTORS® supportive of your candidacy and if they hold any official roles.
3.	What are demographics of your district, (i.e. number of registered voters; % registered in both parties; rural, suburban, urban; racial mix, blue/white collar, homeowners, renters)?
4.	What is your campaign's plan/strategy to win?
5.	Are you using pollsters or other consultants? (Please name them and provide office address and phone number.)
	5. Please provide most recent polling information: (results, when poll was taken, who conducted poll)

V. RPAC CANDIDATE QUESTIONAIRE: NAR LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES

Do you support continuation of the National Flood Insurance Program?

I. FLOOD INSURANCE

The National Flood Insurance Program ensures access to property hazard insurance where unavailable in the private market. Today, 5 million middle-class homeowners and commercial property owners in 22,000 communities nationwide rely on this program for protection from floods, which cost more lives and property than any other natural disaster in the U.S. Without this insurance, these property owners would have to turn back to the federal government for rebuilding assistance after floods, as they did before the program was created in 1968. As of January 2018, the program has been extended four times in as many months, and shut down once while Congress continues working on longer-term reauthorization and reform legislation.

	Support	Oppose	Undecided
Please explain: _			
<u> </u>			
H HOUSING) MODECLOS SI	NANCE	
II. HOUSING &	& MORTGAGE FI	NANCE	
mortgage marke and Freddie Ma secondary mortg government only providing a leve arguments regan mortgage marke	t, and how the nation, should be handle gage market, save for entering the market of re-insurance on the gang these approact	on's Government-Spond on the Federal Housing or the Federal Housing of the Federal Housing a catas of certain mortgage-backhes exist, the current put he importance of some	nment should play in the secondary sored Enterprises (GSEs), Fannie Made from near total privatization of the gAdministration (FHA), to the strophic event, to the government sked securities (MBS). While many problems with liquidity in the housing a degree of public involvement when
	• •		n the secondary mortgage market to ute mortgage products?
	Support	Oppose	Undecided
Please explain: _			
_			
_			

B) With the collapse of the private secondary market in recent years, the FHA mortgage insurance program, which historically has operated without cost to taxpayers, has functioned as it was intended, by ensuring that American families have access to affordable mortgage financing. There are some today who, in response to the problems that have plagued the real estate and mortgage markets, propose ending all government involvement in the mortgage process — even for first time homebuyers, and others who are underserved by the private market.

• • •	continuing governm afe, affordable mort	1 0	FHA, that assist American families
	Support	Oppose	Undecided
Please explain: _			
_			
_			
III. NETWORK	NEUTRALITY		
REALTORS® ar owners, like real In particular, RE practices could p	re concerned that a r l estate professionals EALTORS® note that out small businesses l pay for Internet fas	ollback of net neutrali s, who make heavy use t paid-prioritization m at a significant disadv	nternet to serve their clients. Ity rules could raise costs on business of technology and online platforms. Todels and other anti-competitive Totales. For example, larger The intent to consumers faster on some
	content, regardless o		rk neutrality rules to ensure that all r, is treated equally by Internet
	Support	Oppose	Undecided
Please explain: _			
_			

IV. DATA PRIVACY & SECURITY

REALTORS® recognize the importance of protecting client data entrusted to them and support common sense data privacy and security safeguards. However, REALTORS® believe that Congress should enact data privacy legislation that avoids burdening small businesses that lack the resources available to larger companies. Under some proposals, REALTORS® and other Main Street business could be forced to hire data security staff, comply with multiple data security standards and implement unaffordable security programs.

V. PATENT REFORM Despite passage of the America Invents Act in 2011, abuse of our patent system continues to grow. Instead of creating new jobs and investing in new technologies, businesses large and sm across many industries—including realty, construction, restaurants, retail, hotels, grocers, convenience stores, and restaurants on Main Street—are diverting scarce resources to fighting frivolous lawsuits and overly broad claims made by Patent Assertion Entities (the so-called "patent trolls"). Would you support legislation which would put an end to these frivolous lawsuits and offer protections for consumer end users?		Support	Oppose	Undecided
Despite passage of the America Invents Act in 2011, abuse of our patent system continues to grow. Instead of creating new jobs and investing in new technologies, businesses large and smacross many industries—including realty, construction, restaurants, retail, hotels, grocers, convenience stores, and restaurants on Main Street—are diverting scarce resources to fighting frivolous lawsuits and overly broad claims made by Patent Assertion Entities (the so-called "patent trolls"). Would you support legislation which would put an end to these frivolous lawsuits and offer	Please explain:			
Despite passage of the America Invents Act in 2011, abuse of our patent system continues to grow. Instead of creating new jobs and investing in new technologies, businesses large and smacross many industries—including realty, construction, restaurants, retail, hotels, grocers, convenience stores, and restaurants on Main Street—are diverting scarce resources to fighting frivolous lawsuits and overly broad claims made by Patent Assertion Entities (the so-called "patent trolls"). Would you support legislation which would put an end to these frivolous lawsuits and offer				
grow. Instead of creating new jobs and investing in new technologies, businesses large and smacross many industries—including realty, construction, restaurants, retail, hotels, grocers, convenience stores, and restaurants on Main Street—are diverting scarce resources to fighting frivolous lawsuits and overly broad claims made by Patent Assertion Entities (the so-called "patent trolls"). Would you support legislation which would put an end to these frivolous lawsuits and offer	V. PATENT REF	FORM		
Would you support legislation which would put an end to these frivolous lawsuits and offer protections for consumer end users?	grow. Instead of cacross many indu convenience store frivolous lawsuits	creating new jobs estries—including es, and restaurant	and investing in new to realty, construction, r s on Main Street—are	technologies, businesses large and smo restaurants, retail, hotels, grocers, e diverting scarce resources to fighting
	Would you suppo	_	_	o these frivolous lawsuits and offer
SupportOpposeOther	protections for co			

VI. TAXES

A) Following the Great Recession, millions of Americans had their lives turned upside down by job loss and depressed home values. As a result, many struggling homeowners are working with experts to modify their existing mortgage, or are selling their home at a loss through a process called a short sale. Since 2007, the Mortgage Debt Forgiveness Tax provision has been renewed several times on a short-term basis to prevent the IRS from taxing Americans on "phantom income"—money they never see when part of their mortgage is forgiven.

	_	gage Debt Forgivenes ncome they never rece	ss Tax provision and preventing cive?	
	Support	Oppose	Undecided	
Please explain:				
_				