## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Alabama

Of the approximately 1,246,000 owner-occupied houses in Alabama in 2014, 715,000 or 57\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 390,500 taxpayers in Alabama claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,848,660,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,300$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,820$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Alabama in 2014 was $\$ 712,165,000$.

In 2014, 430,800 taxpayers in Alabama claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 599,110,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 1,400$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 350$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Alabama in 2014 was $\$ 149,777,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 22,101,089,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Alabama in 2014 was $\$ 198,575,037,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Alabama could be 11\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Alabama in 2014 was $\$ 151,500$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,850$ for the typical home owner.

[^0]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Alaska

Of the approximately 156,000 owner-occupied houses in Alaska in 2014, 103,000 or $66 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 66,700 taxpayers in Alaska claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 640,167,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,600$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,400$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Alaska in 2014 was $\$ 160,041,750$.

In 2014, 71,800 taxpayers in Alaska claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 304,190,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,250$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,060$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Alaska in 2014 was $\$ 76,047,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 6,053,570,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Alaska in 2014 was $\$ 40,733,427,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Alaska could be $15 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Alaska in 2014 was $\$ 257,600$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 38,300$ for the typical home owner.

[^1]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Arizona

Of the approximately 1,485,000 owner-occupied houses in Arizona in 2014, 957,000 or 64\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 625,300 taxpayers in Arizona claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 5,581,749,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,950$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,230$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Arizona in 2014 was $\$ 1,395,437,250$.

In 2014, 705,500 taxpayers in Arizona claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,816,361,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,550$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 640$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Arizona in 2014 was \$454,090,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 47,423,782,100$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Arizona in 2014 was $\$ 343,121,265,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Arizona could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Arizona in 2014 was $\$ 193,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 26,700$ for the typical home owner.

[^2]Of the approximately 744,000 owner-occupied houses in Arkansas in 2014, 414,000 or 56\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 199,000 taxpayers in Arkansas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,307,399,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,550$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,640$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Arkansas in 2014 was $\$ 326,849,750$.

In 2014, 229,700 taxpayers in Arkansas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 426,739,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 1,850$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 460$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Arkansas in 2014 was $\$ 106,684,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 11,116,269,200$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Arkansas in 2014 was $\$ 105,841,365,100$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Arkansas could be $11 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Arkansas in 2014 was $\$ 156,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,400$ for the typical home owner.

[^3]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in California

Of the approximately 6,856,000 owner-occupied houses in California in 2014, 4,929,000 or 72\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 4,207,200 taxpayers in California claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 52,163,465,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 12,400$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 3,100$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in California in 2014 was $\$ 13,040,866,250$.

In 2014, 4,712,900 taxpayers in California claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 26,196,833,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 5,550$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,390$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in California in 2014 was $\$ 6,549,208,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 502,309,602,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in California in 2014 was $\$ 3,667,643,000,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in California could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in California in 2014 was $\$ 451,100$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 61,800$ for the typical home owner.

[^4]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Colorado

Of the approximately 1,303,000 owner-occupied houses in Colorado in 2014, 948,000 or 73\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 683,200 taxpayers in Colorado claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 6,538,235,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,550$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,390$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Colorado in 2014 was $\$ 1,634,558,750$.

In 2014, 742,100 taxpayers in Colorado claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,904,348,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,550$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 640$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Colorado in 2014 was \$476,087,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 54,119,121,800$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Colorado in 2014 was $\$ 401,336,618,100$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Colorado could be $13 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Colorado in 2014 was $\$ 259,100$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 34,950$ for the typical home owner.

[^5]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Connecticut

Of the approximately 900,000 owner-occupied houses in Connecticut in 2014, 629,000 or 70\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 536,200 taxpayers in Connecticut claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,698,412,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,750$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,190$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Connecticut in 2014 was $\$ 1,174,603,000$.

In 2014, 653,800 taxpayers in Connecticut claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,873,735,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 7,450$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,860$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Connecticut in 2014 was \$1,218,433,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 61,359,916,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Connecticut in 2014 was $\$ 342,987,264,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Connecticut could be 18\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Connecticut in 2014 was $\$ 241,600$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 43,200$ for the typical home owner.

[^6]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Delaware

Of the approximately 246,000 owner-occupied houses in Delaware in 2014, 171,000 or $69 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 115,700 taxpayers in Delaware claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,028,273,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,900$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,220$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Delaware in 2014 was $\$ 257,068,250$.

In 2014, 126,200 taxpayers in Delaware claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 326,249,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,600$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 650$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Delaware in 2014 was $\$ 81,562,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 8,682,833,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Delaware in 2014 was $\$ 63,624,912,100$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Delaware could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Delaware in 2014 was $\$ 205,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$28,050 for the typical home owner.

[^7]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in District of Columbia

Of the approximately 112,000 owner-occupied houses in District of Columbia in 2014, 86,000 or 76\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 77,900 taxpayers in District of Columbia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 962,683,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 12,350$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 3,090$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in District of Columbia in 2014 was $\$ 240,670,750$.

In 2014, 85,000 taxpayers in District of Columbia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 360,600,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,250$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,060$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in District of Columbia in 2014 was $\$ 90,150,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 8,482,583,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in District of Columbia in 2014 was $\$ 71,165,871,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in District of Columbia could be 12\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in District of Columbia in 2014 was $\$ 492,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 58,650$ for the typical home owner.

[^8]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Florida

Of the approximately 4,694,000 owner-occupied houses in Florida in 2014, 2,729,000 or 58\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,465,400 taxpayers in Florida claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 13,341,920,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,100$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,280$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Florida in 2014 was $\$ 3,335,480,000$.

In 2014, 1,731,800 taxpayers in Florida claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 8,439,563,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,850$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,220$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Florida in 2014 was $\$ 2,109,890,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 139,624,891,000$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Florida in 2014 was $\$ 1,063,574,548,300$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Florida could be 13\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Florida in 2014 was $\$ 166,900$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$21,900 for the typical home owner.

[^9]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Georgia

Of the approximately $2,233,000$ owner-occupied houses in Georgia in 2014, 1,493,000 or $67 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,066,400 taxpayers in Georgia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 8,225,927,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,700 from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,930$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Georgia in 2014 was $\$ 2,056,481,750$.

In 2014, 1,178,500 taxpayers in Georgia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,423,159,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,900 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 730$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Georgia in 2014 was \$855,789,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 74,673,628,200$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Georgia in 2014 was $\$ 431,321,430,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Georgia could be $17 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Georgia in 2014 was $\$ 156,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 27,050$ for the typical home owner.

[^10]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Hawaii

Of the approximately 255,000 owner-occupied houses in Hawaii in 2014, 169,000 or $66 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 140,300 taxpayers in Hawaii claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,794,931,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 12,800$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 3,200$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Hawaii in 2014 was $\$ 448,732,750$.

In 2014, 151,400 taxpayers in Hawaii claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 322,346,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,150$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 530$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Hawaii in 2014 was $\$ 80,586,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 13,572,288,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Hawaii in 2014 was $\$ 153,428,413,300$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Hawaii could be $9 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Hawaii in 2014 was $\$ 477,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 42,250$ for the typical home owner.

[^11]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Idaho

Of the approximately 402,000 owner-occupied houses in Idaho in 2014, 265,000 or 66\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 154,100 taxpayers in Idaho claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,174,289,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,600$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,910$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Idaho in 2014 was $\$ 293,572,250$.

In 2014, 176,400 taxpayers in Idaho claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 432,705,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,450$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 610$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Idaho in 2014 was $\$ 108,176,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 10,301,243,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Idaho in 2014 was $\$ 82,528,621,000$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Idaho could be $12 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Idaho in 2014 was $\$ 194,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 24,250$ for the typical home owner.

[^12]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Illinois

Of the approximately $3,126,000$ owner-occupied houses in Illinois in 2014, 2,031,000 or $65 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,488,600 taxpayers in Illinois claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 11,474,004,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,700$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,930$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Illinois in 2014 was $\$ 2,868,501,000$.

In 2014, 1,774,400 taxpayers in Illinois claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 11,515,765,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 6,500$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,620$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Illinois in 2014 was $\$ 2,878,941,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 147,370,314,100$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Illinois in 2014 was $\$ 719,998,444,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Illinois could be 20\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Illinois in 2014 was $\$ 189,700$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 38,850$ for the typical home owner.

[^13]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Indiana

Of the approximately 1,716,000 owner-occupied houses in Indiana in 2014, 1,145,000 or 67\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 557,900 taxpayers in Indiana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,582,876,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,400$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,610$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Indiana in 2014 was $\$ 895,719,000$.

In 2014, 639,400 taxpayers in Indiana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,432,011,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,250$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 560$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Indiana in 2014 was $\$ 358,002,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 32,146,711,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Indiana in 2014 was $\$ 264,112,533,500$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Indiana could be 12\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Indiana in 2014 was $\$ 137,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,700$ for the typical home owner.

[^14]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Iowa

Of the approximately 880,000 owner-occupied houses in lowa in 2014, 534,000 or 61\% had a mortgage.
In 2014, 302,400 taxpayers in lowa claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,780,394,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 5,900$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,470$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in lowa in 2014 was $\$ 445,098,500$.

In 2014, 379,800 taxpayers in lowa claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,311,669,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 3,450$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 860$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in lowa in 2014 was $\$ 327,917,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 19,820,916,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in lowa in 2014 was $\$ 140,311,371,800$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in lowa could be $14 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in lowa in 2014 was $\$ 151,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 21,450$ for the typical home owner.

[^15]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Kansas

Of the approximately 739,000 owner-occupied houses in Kansas in 2014, 446,000 or $60 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 250,800 taxpayers in Kansas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,661,042,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,600$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,660$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Kansas in 2014 was $\$ 415,260,500$.

In 2014, 309,200 taxpayers in Kansas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,059,412,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 3,450$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 860$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Kansas in 2014 was \$264,853,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 17,438,807,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Kansas in 2014 was $\$ 121,177,265,000$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Kansas could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Kansas in 2014 was $\$ 151,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$21,750 for the typical home owner.

[^16]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Kentucky

Of the approximately $1,131,000$ owner-occupied houses in Kentucky in 2014, 672,000 or 59\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 381,100 taxpayers in Kentucky claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,383,614,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,250$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,560$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Kentucky in 2014 was $\$ 595,903,500$.

In 2014, 436,900 taxpayers in Kentucky claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,008,774,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,300$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 580$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Kentucky in 2014 was $\$ 252,193,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 21,746,076,900$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Kentucky in 2014 was $\$ 173,776,424,300$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Kentucky could be 13\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Kentucky in 2014 was $\$ 145,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 18,200$ for the typical home owner.

[^17]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Louisiana

Of the approximately 1,107,000 owner-occupied houses in Louisiana in 2014, 589,000 or 53\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 315,300 taxpayers in Louisiana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,370,057,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,500$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,880$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Louisiana in 2014 was \$592,514,250.

In 2014, 328,500 taxpayers in Louisiana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 701,592,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,150$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 530$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Louisiana in 2014 was \$175,398,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 19,690,057,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Louisiana in 2014 was $\$ 189,130,549,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Louisiana could be 10\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Louisiana in 2014 was $\$ 165,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 17,200$ for the typical home owner.

[^18]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Maine

Of the approximately 392,000 owner-occupied houses in Maine in 2014, 244,000 or 62\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 137,000 taxpayers in Maine claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 950,968,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,950$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,740$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Maine in 2014 was $\$ 237,742,000$.

In 2014, 163,900 taxpayers in Maine claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 693,548,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,250 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,060$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Maine in 2014 was \$173,387,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 10,541,769,200$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Maine in 2014 was $\$ 85,918,311,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Maine could be 12\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Maine in 2014 was $\$ 228,900$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 28,100$ for the typical home owner.

[^19]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Maryland

Of the approximately 1,427,000 owner-occupied houses in Maryland in 2014, 1,049,000 or 74\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 951,300 taxpayers in Maryland claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 9,491,666,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 10,000$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,490$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Maryland in 2014 was $\$ 2,372,916,500$.

In 2014, 1,066,300 taxpayers in Maryland claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,555,078,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,250$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,070$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Maryland in 2014 was $\$ 1,138,769,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 90,043,230,800$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Maryland in 2014 was $\$ 497,365,946,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Maryland could be 18\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Maryland in 2014 was $\$ 259,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 46,950$ for the typical home owner.

[^20]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Massachusetts

Of the approximately 1,570,000 owner-occupied houses in Massachusetts in 2014, 1,092,000 or 70\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 934,900 taxpayers in Massachusetts claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 8,612,682,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,200$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,300$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Massachusetts in 2014 was $\$ 2,153,170,500$.

In 2014, 1,102,600 taxpayers in Massachusetts claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 6,583,858,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,950 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,490$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Massachusetts in 2014 was $\$ 1,645,964,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 97,413,717,900$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Massachusetts in 2014 was $\$ 641,494,529,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Massachusetts could be $15 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Massachusetts in 2014 was $\$ 376,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 57,150$ for the typical home owner.

[^21]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Michigan

Of the approximately 2,693,000 owner-occupied houses in Michigan in 2014, 1,659,000 or 62\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 958,600 taxpayers in Michigan claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 6,401,842,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,700$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,670$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Michigan in 2014 was $\$ 1,600,460,500$.

In 2014, 1,136,400 taxpayers in Michigan claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,411,648,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,900 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 970$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Michigan in 2014 was $\$ 1,102,912,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 69,317,243,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Michigan in 2014 was $\$ 434,354,977,000$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Michigan could be 16\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Michigan in 2014 was $\$ 124,900$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 19,950$ for the typical home owner.

[^22]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Minnesota

Of the approximately 1,526,000 owner-occupied houses in Minnesota in 2014, 1,020,000 or 67\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 735,600 taxpayers in Minnesota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 5,778,140,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,850$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,960$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Minnesota in 2014 was $\$ 1,444,535,000$.

In 2014, 861,200 taxpayers in Minnesota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,994,916,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,500 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 870$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Minnesota in 2014 was \$748,729,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 56,237,538,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Minnesota in 2014 was $\$ 345,994,273,500$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Minnesota could be 16\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Minnesota in 2014 was $\$ 207,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 33,700$ for the typical home owner.

[^23]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Mississippi

Of the approximately 742,000 owner-occupied houses in Mississippi in 2014, 379,000 or 51\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 189,200 taxpayers in Mississippi claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,225,457,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,500$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,620$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Mississippi in 2014 was $\$ 306,364,250$.

In 2014, 219,300 taxpayers in Mississippi claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 437,877,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,000$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 500$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Mississippi in 2014 was \$109,469,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 10,662,397,400$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Mississippi in 2014 was $\$ 98,733,446,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Mississippi could be $11 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Mississippi in 2014 was $\$ 153,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,550$ for the typical home owner.

[^24]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Missouri

Of the approximately 1,576,000 owner-occupied houses in Missouri in 2014, 988,000 or 63\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 547,700 taxpayers in Missouri claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,732,736,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,800$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,700$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Missouri in 2014 was $\$ 933,184,000$.

In 2014, 643,500 taxpayers in Missouri claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,890,135,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,950$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 730$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Missouri in 2014 was $\$ 472,533,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 36,044,044,900$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Missouri in 2014 was $\$ 268,556,291,800$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Missouri could be $13 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Missouri in 2014 was $\$ 144,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 19,400$ for the typical home owner.

[^25]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Montana

Of the approximately 273,000 owner-occupied houses in Montana in 2014, 150,000 or 55\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 100,100 taxpayers in Montana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 758,948,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,600$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,900$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Montana in 2014 was $\$ 189,737,000$.

In 2014, 122,900 taxpayers in Montana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 336,365,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,750$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 680$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Montana in 2014 was $\$ 84,091,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 7,021,237,200$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Montana in 2014 was $\$ 64,433,790,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Montana could be $11 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Montana in 2014 was $\$ 199,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$21,750 for the typical home owner.

[^26]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Nebraska

Of the approximately 488,000 owner-occupied houses in Nebraska in 2014, 298,000 or 61\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 179,000 taxpayers in Nebraska claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,086,133,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,050$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,520$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Nebraska in 2014 was \$271,533,250.

In 2014, 217,600 taxpayers in Nebraska claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 878,113,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,050$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,010$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Nebraska in 2014 was $\$ 219,528,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 12,591,320,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Nebraska in 2014 was $\$ 79,701,616,800$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Nebraska could be 16\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Nebraska in 2014 was $\$ 150,700$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 23,800$ for the typical home owner.

[^27]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Nevada

Of the approximately 548,000 owner-occupied houses in Nevada in 2014, 375,000 or 68\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 240,900 taxpayers in Nevada claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,288,618,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,500$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,380$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Nevada in 2014 was $\$ 572,154,500$.

In 2014, 263,200 taxpayers in Nevada claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 761,125,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,900$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 720$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Nevada in 2014 was $\$ 190,281,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 19,549,634,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Nevada in 2014 was $\$ 127,807,446,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Nevada could be 15\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Nevada in 2014 was $\$ 181,700$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 27,800$ for the typical home owner.

[^28]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Hampshire

Of the approximately 365,000 owner-occupied houses in New Hampshire in 2014, 244,000 or 67\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 173,800 taxpayers in New Hampshire claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,441,988,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,300$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,070$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Hampshire in 2014 was $\$ 360,497,000$.

In 2014, 202,800 taxpayers in New Hampshire claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,456,880,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 7,200$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,800$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Hampshire in 2014 was $\$ 364,220,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 18,582,487,200$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Hampshire in 2014 was $\$ 95,284,899,000$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Hampshire could be $20 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Hampshire in 2014 was $\$ 272,900$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 53,200$ for the typical home owner.

[^29]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Jersey

Of the approximately 2,021,000 owner-occupied houses in New Jersey in 2014, 1,382,000 or 68\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,252,700 taxpayers in New Jersey claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 11,459,761,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,150$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,290$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Jersey in 2014 was $\$ 2,864,940,250$.

In 2014, 1,559,000 taxpayers in New Jersey claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 14,446,643,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 9,250$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,320$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Jersey in 2014 was $\$ 3,611,660,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 166,066,692,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Jersey in 2014 was $\$ 743,244,871,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Jersey could be $22 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Jersey in 2014 was $\$ 328,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 73,300$ for the typical home owner.

[^30]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Mexico

Of the approximately 509,000 owner-occupied houses in New Mexico in 2014, 287,000 or 56\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 157,500 taxpayers in New Mexico claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,286,082,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,150$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,040$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Mexico in 2014 was $\$ 321,520,500$.

In 2014, 178,600 taxpayers in New Mexico claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 460,781,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,600$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 640$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Mexico in 2014 was $\$ 115,195,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 11,197,839,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Mexico in 2014 was $\$ 103,528,293,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Mexico could be 11\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Mexico in 2014 was $\$ 175,000$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 18,950$ for the typical home owner.

[^31]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New York

Of the approximately 3,858,000 owner-occupied houses in New York in 2014, 2,408,000 or 62\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,961,500 taxpayers in New York claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 17,290,854,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,800$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,200$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New York in 2014 was $\$ 4,322,713,500$.

In 2014, 2,375,900 taxpayers in New York claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 20,158,375,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 8,500$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,120$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New York in 2014 was $\$ 5,039,593,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 240,059,160,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New York in 2014 was $\$ 1,536,738,938,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New York could be 16\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New York in 2014 was $\$ 254,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 39,750$ for the typical home owner.

[^32]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in North Carolina

Of the approximately 2,435,000 owner-occupied houses in North Carolina in 2014, 1,569,000 or 64\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 991,000 taxpayers in North Carolina claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 7,590,379,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,650$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,910$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in North Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 1,897,594,750$.

In 2014, 1,126,700 taxpayers in North Carolina claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,265,301,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,900 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 720$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in North Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 816,325,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 69,587,692,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in North Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 480,426,515,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in North Carolina could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in North Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 134,600$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 19,500$ for the typical home owner.

[^33]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in North Dakota

Of the approximately 195,000 owner-occupied houses in North Dakota in 2014, 101,000 or 52\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 43,800 taxpayers in North Dakota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 334,133,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,650$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,910$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in North Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 83,533,250$.

In 2014, 51,800 taxpayers in North Dakota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 165,058,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,200 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 800$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in North Dakota in 2014 was \$41,264,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 3,199,942,300$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in North Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 36,595,144,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in North Dakota could be 9\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in North Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 186,700$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,350$ for the typical home owner.

[^34]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Ohio

Of the approximately 3,001,000 owner-occupied houses in Ohio in 2014, 1,920,000 or 64\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1, 137,400 taxpayers in Ohio claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 6,999,369,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,150$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,540$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Ohio in 2014 was $\$ 1,749,842,250$.

In 2014, 1,303,000 taxpayers in Ohio claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 5,317,868,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,100$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,020$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Ohio in 2014 was $\$ 1,329,467,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 78,956,647,400$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Ohio in 2014 was $\$ 476,019,789,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Ohio could be $17 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Ohio in 2014 was $\$ 141,200$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 23,400$ for the typical home owner.

[^35]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Oklahoma

Of the approximately 951,000 owner-occupied houses in Oklahoma in 2014, 532,000 or 56\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 275,200 taxpayers in Oklahoma claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,834,641,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,650$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,670$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Oklahoma in 2014 was $\$ 458,660,250$.

In 2014, 328,000 taxpayers in Oklahoma claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 819,107,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,500$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 620$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Oklahoma in 2014 was \$204,776,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 17,011,205,100$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Oklahoma in 2014 was $\$ 145,105,679,800$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Oklahoma could be 12\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Oklahoma in 2014 was $\$ 143,500$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,800$ for the typical home owner.

[^36]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Oregon

Of the approximately 932,000 owner-occupied houses in Oregon in 2014, 617,000 or 66\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 497,400 taxpayers in Oregon claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,210,482,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,450$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,120$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Oregon in 2014 was $\$ 1,052,620,500$.

In 2014, 581,100 taxpayers in Oregon claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,309,888,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 3,950$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 990$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Oregon in 2014 was $\$ 577,472,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 41,797,243,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Oregon in 2014 was $\$ 256,736,957,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Oregon could be 16\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Oregon in 2014 was $\$ 305,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 49,700$ for the typical home owner.

[^37]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Pennsylvania

Of the approximately 3,404,000 owner-occupied houses in Pennsylvania in 2014, 2,062,000 or 61\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,354,200 taxpayers in Pennsylvania claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 9,863,101,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,300$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,820$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Pennsylvania in 2014 was $\$ 2,465,775,250$.

In 2014, 1,592,700 taxpayers in Pennsylvania claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 8,005,489,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 5,050$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,260$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Pennsylvania in 2014 was $\$ 2,001,372,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 114,542,243,600$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Pennsylvania in 2014 was $\$ 697,742,720,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Pennsylvania could be $16 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Pennsylvania in 2014 was $\$ 160,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 26,400$ for the typical home owner.

[^38]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Rhode Island

Of the approximately 241,000 owner-occupied houses in Rhode Island in 2014, 168,000 or $70 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 135,900 taxpayers in Rhode Island claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,047,455,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,700$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,930$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Rhode Island in 2014 was $\$ 261,863,750$.

In 2014, 157,400 taxpayers in Rhode Island claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 832,846,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,300 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,320$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Rhode Island in 2014 was \$208,211,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 12,053,211,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Rhode Island in 2014 was $\$ 69,803,158,100$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Rhode Island could be $17 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Rhode Island in 2014 was $\$ 214,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 37,100$ for the typical home owner.

[^39]Of the approximately 1,243,000 owner-occupied houses in South Carolina in 2014, 729,000 or 59\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 444,600 taxpayers in South Carolina claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,323,812,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,500$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,870$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in South Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 830,953,000$.

In 2014, 508,400 taxpayers in South Carolina claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,048,356,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,050$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 520$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in South Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 262,089,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 28,026,717,900$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in South Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 234,091,549,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in South Carolina could be $12 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in South Carolina in 2014 was $\$ 180,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 21,650$ for the typical home owner.

[^40]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in South Dakota

Of the approximately 228,000 owner-occupied houses in South Dakota in 2014, 125,000 or 55\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 49,400 taxpayers in South Dakota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 363,292,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,350$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,840$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in South Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 90,823,000$.

In 2014, 60,500 taxpayers in South Dakota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 231,429,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 3,850$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 960$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in South Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 57,857,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 3,812,314,100$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in South Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 37,568,881,400$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in South Dakota could be 10\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in South Dakota in 2014 was $\$ 160,600$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 16,300$ for the typical home owner.

[^41]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Tennessee

Of the approximately 1,660,000 owner-occupied houses in Tennessee in 2014, 995,000 or 60\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 443,600 taxpayers in Tennessee claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,562,440,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,050$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,010$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Tennessee in 2014 was $\$ 890,610,000$.

In 2014, 509,300 taxpayers in Tennessee claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 1,389,843,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,750 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 680$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Tennessee in 2014 was $\$ 347,460,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 31,745,403,800$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Tennessee in 2014 was $\$ 303,266,543,000$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Tennessee could be 10\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Tennessee in 2014 was $\$ 143,200$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 15,000$ for the typical home owner.

[^42]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Texas

Of the approximately 5,674,000 owner-occupied houses in Texas in 2014, 3,307,000 or 58\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,975,500 taxpayers in Texas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 15,407,368,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,800$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,950$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Texas in 2014 was $\$ 3,851,842,000$.

In 2014, 2,271,100 taxpayers in Texas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 13,655,173,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$6,000 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,500$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Texas in 2014 was $\$ 3,413,793,250$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 186,298,339,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Texas in 2014 was $\$ 1,072,040,234,900$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Texas could be 17\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Texas in 2014 was $\$ 186,300$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 32,400$ for the typical home owner.

[^43]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Utah

Of the approximately 635,000 owner-occupied houses in Utah in 2014, 451,000 or 71\% had a mortgage.
In 2014, 342,900 taxpayers in Utah claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 2,845,617,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 8,300$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,070$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Utah in 2014 was $\$ 711,404,250$.

In 2014, 388,800 taxpayers in Utah claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 900,295,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,300$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 580$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Utah in 2014 was $\$ 225,073,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 24,012,256,400$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Utah in 2014 was $\$ 166,083,937,800$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Utah could be $14 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Utah in 2014 was $\$ 157,200$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 22,750$ for the typical home owner.

[^44]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Vermont

Of the approximately 180,000 owner-occupied houses in Vermont in 2014, 113,000 or 63\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 68,100 taxpayers in Vermont claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 483,285,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 7,100$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,770$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Vermont in 2014 was $\$ 120,821,250$.

In 2014, 82,800 taxpayers in Vermont claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 481,932,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 5,800$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,460$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Vermont in 2014 was $\$ 120,483,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 6,187,288,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Vermont in 2014 was $\$ 43,381,178,100$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Vermont could be 14\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Vermont in 2014 was $\$ 254,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 36,300$ for the typical home owner.

[^45]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Virginia

Of the approximately 2,015,000 owner-occupied houses in Virginia in 2014, 1,408,000 or 70\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 1,127,300 taxpayers in Virginia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 11,578,681,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 10,250$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,570$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 2,894,670,250$.

In 2014, 1,254,400 taxpayers in Virginia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,924,078,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 3,950$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 980$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 1,231,019,500$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 105,786,916,700$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 637,243,672,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Virginia could be 17\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 326,800$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 54,250$ for the typical home owner.

[^46]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Washington

Of the approximately 1,655,000 owner-occupied houses in Washington in 2014, 1,142,000 or $69 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 826,200 taxpayers in Washington claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 8,538,526,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 10,350$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,580$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Washington in 2014 was $\$ 2,134,631,500$.

In 2014, 930,000 taxpayers in Washington claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,128,491,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,450$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,110$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Washington in 2014 was $\$ 1,032,122,750$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 81,198,826,900$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Washington in 2014 was $\$ 538,574,942,200$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Washington could be $15 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Washington in 2014 was $\$ 283,200$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 42,700$ for the typical home owner.

[^47]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.

## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in West Virginia

Of the approximately 531,000 owner-occupied houses in West Virginia in 2014, 246,000 or 46\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 100,600 taxpayers in West Virginia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 686,295,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,800$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,710$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in West Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 171,573,750$.

In 2014, 115,900 taxpayers in West Virginia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 187,233,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$1,600 from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 400$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in West Virginia in 2014 was \$46,808,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 5,599,538,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in West Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 68,868,313,500$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in West Virginia could be $8 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in West Virginia in 2014 was $\$ 139,100$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 11,300$ for the typical home owner.

[^48]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Wisconsin

Of the approximately 1,537,000 owner-occupied houses in Wisconsin in 2014, 1,000,000 or 65\% had a mortgage.

In 2014, 678,600 taxpayers in Wisconsin claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 4,278,895,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 6,300$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,580$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Wisconsin in 2014 was $\$ 1,069,723,750$.

In 2014, 816,000 taxpayers in Wisconsin claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 3,781,998,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 4,650$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 1,160$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Wisconsin in 2014 was \$945,499,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 51,672,391,000$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Wisconsin in 2014 was $\$ 300,425,421,700$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Wisconsin could be 17\%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Wisconsin in 2014 was $\$ 181,400$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 31,200$ for the typical home owner.

[^49]
## Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Wyoming

Of the approximately 156,000 owner-occupied houses in Wyoming in 2014, 90,000 or $58 \%$ had a mortgage.

In 2014, 46,300 taxpayers in Wyoming claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was $\$ 417,570,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted $\$ 9,000$ from taxable income in 2014 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent ${ }^{1}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 2,250$ in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Wyoming in 2014 was $\$ 104,392,500$.

In 2014, 53,500 taxpayers in Wyoming claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was $\$ 138,576,000$. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted $\$ 2,600$ from taxable income in 2014.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent $^{2}$, this means that the average taxpayer saved $\$ 650$ in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Wyoming in 2014 was $\$ 34,644,000$.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value ${ }^{3}$ of these lost savings could total $\$ 3,565,038,500$. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Wyoming in 2014 was $\$ 41,775,339,600$. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Wyoming could be $9 \%$. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Wyoming in 2014 was $\$ 169,600$. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of $\$ 14,450$ for the typical home owner.

[^50]Sources for the data above include: Internal Revenue Service 2014, American Community Survey 2014, National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ 2014; All calculations are by the National Association of Realtors ${ }^{\circledR}$ Research Division, July 2017.
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