

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Alabama

Of the approximately 1,268,000 owner-occupied houses in Alabama in 2016, 718,000 or 57% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 385,700 taxpayers in Alabama claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$2,773,145,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,200 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,800 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Alabama in 2015 was \$693,286,250.

In 2015, 428,400 taxpayers in Alabama claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$617,825,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$1,450 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 360 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Alabama in 2015 was \$154,456,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$21,736,987,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Alabama in 2016 was \$221,822,746,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Alabama could be 10%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Alabama in 2016 was \$158,800. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$15,550 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Alaska

Of the approximately 160,000 owner-occupied houses in Alaska in 2016, 101,000 or 63% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 66,400 taxpayers in Alaska claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$638,118,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,600 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,400 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Alaska in 2015 was \$159,529,500.

In 2015, 72,000 taxpayers in Alaska claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$318,714,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,450 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,110 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Alaska in 2015 was \$79,678,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$6,133,538,500. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Alaska in 2016 was \$46,560,041,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Alaska could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Alaska in 2016 was \$268,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$35,400 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Arizona

Of the approximately 1,593,000 owner-occupied houses in Arizona in 2016, 1,021,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 638,100 taxpayers in Arizona claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$5,611,824,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,800 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,200 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Arizona in 2015 was \$1,402,956,000.

In 2015, 723,200 taxpayers in Arizona claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,946,429,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,700 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 670 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Arizona in 2015 was \$486,607,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$48,450,339,700. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Arizona in 2016 was \$407,886,601,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Arizona could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Arizona in 2016 was \$219,300. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$26,050 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Arkansas

Of the approximately 738,000 owner-occupied houses in Arkansas in 2016, 401,000 or 54% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 193,500 taxpayers in Arkansas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,265,979,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,640 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Arkansas in 2015 was \$316,494,750.

In 2015, 225,900 taxpayers in Arkansas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$437,439,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$1,950 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 480 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Arkansas in 2015 was \$109,359,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$10,919,346,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Arkansas in 2016 was \$118,238,620,000. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Arkansas could be 9%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Arkansas in 2016 was \$170,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$15,750 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in California

Of the approximately 6,943,000 owner-occupied houses in California in 2016, 4,934,000 or 71% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 4,279,200 taxpayers in California claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$52,562,884,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$12,300 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$3,070 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in California in 2015 was \$13,140,721,000.

In 2015, 4,817,500 taxpayers in California claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$28,313,351,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,900 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,470 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in California in 2015 was \$7,078,337,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$518,437,403,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in California in 2016 was \$4,352,349,645,700. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in California could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in California in 2016 was \$474,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$56,550 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Colorado

Of the approximately 1,366,000 owner-occupied houses in Colorado in 2016, 978,000 or 72% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 694,100 taxpayers in Colorado claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$6,629,134,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,390 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Colorado in 2015 was \$1,657,283,500.

In 2015, 757,200 taxpayers in Colorado claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,992,398,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,650 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 660 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Colorado in 2015 was \$498,099,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$55,266,230,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Colorado in 2016 was \$505,953,770,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Colorado could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Colorado in 2016 was \$329,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$36,000 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Connecticut

Of the approximately 879,000 owner-occupied houses in Connecticut in 2016, 597,000 or 68% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 537,000 taxpayers in Connecticut claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$4,612,238,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,600 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,150 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Connecticut in 2015 was \$1,153,059,500.

In 2015, 658,700 taxpayers in Connecticut claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$5,029,354,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$7,650 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,910 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Connecticut in 2015 was \$1,257,338,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$61,805,076,900. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Connecticut in 2016 was \$330,427,328,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Connecticut could be 19%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Connecticut in 2016 was \$239,000. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$44,700 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Delaware

Of the approximately 245,000 owner-occupied houses in Delaware in 2016, 157,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 116,600 taxpayers in Delaware claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,010,552,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,650 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,170 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Delaware in 2015 was \$252,638,000.

In 2015, 128,000 taxpayers in Delaware claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$339,431,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,650 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 660 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Delaware in 2015 was \$84,857,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$8,653,737,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Delaware in 2016 was \$66,564,465,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Delaware could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Delaware in 2016 was \$215,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$28,000 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in District of Columbia

Of the approximately 110,000 owner-occupied houses in District of Columbia in 2016, 84,000 or 76% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 79,100 taxpayers in District of Columbia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$982,588,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$12,400 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$3,110 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in District of Columbia in 2015 was \$245,647,000.

In 2015, 87,000 taxpayers in District of Columbia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$393,944,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,550 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,130 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in District of Columbia in 2015 was \$98,486,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$8,823,923,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in District of Columbia in 2016 was \$76,446,951,500. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in District of Columbia could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in District of Columbia in 2016 was \$539,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$62,300 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Florida

Of the approximately 4,857,000 owner-occupied houses in Florida in 2016, 2,774,000 or 57% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,499,200 taxpayers in Florida claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$13,497,059,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,000 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,250 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Florida in 2015 was \$3,374,264,750.

In 2015, 1,780,100 taxpayers in Florida claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$9,098,299,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,100 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,280 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Florida in 2015 was \$2,274,574,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$144,842,038,500. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Florida in 2016 was \$1,304,745,725,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Florida could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Florida in 2016 was \$197,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$21,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Georgia

Of the approximately 2,269,000 owner-occupied houses in Georgia in 2016, 1,475,000 or 65% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,075,700 taxpayers in Georgia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$8,143,164,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,890 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Georgia in 2015 was \$2,035,791,000.

In 2015, 1,194,800 taxpayers in Georgia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$3,679,855,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,100 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 770 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Georgia in 2015 was \$919,963,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$75,788,583,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Georgia in 2016 was \$497,210,123,700. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Georgia could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Georgia in 2016 was \$182,100. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$27,750 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Hawaii

Of the approximately 261,000 owner-occupied houses in Hawaii in 2016, 172,000 or 66% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 142,000 taxpayers in Hawaii claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,810,552,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$12,750 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$3,190 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Hawaii in 2015 was \$452,638,000.

In 2015, 154,900 taxpayers in Hawaii claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$354,775,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,300 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 570 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Hawaii in 2015 was \$88,693,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$13,880,301,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Hawaii in 2016 was \$176,332,088,200. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Hawaii could be 8%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Hawaii in 2016 was \$538,800. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$42,400 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Idaho

Of the approximately 418,000 owner-occupied houses in Idaho in 2016, 270,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 158,700 taxpayers in Idaho claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,189,544,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,500 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,870 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Idaho in 2015 was \$297,386,000.

In 2015, 182,600 taxpayers in Idaho claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$470,136,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,550 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 640 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Idaho in 2015 was \$117,534,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$10,638,974,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Idaho in 2016 was \$94,187,361,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Idaho could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Idaho in 2016 was \$202,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$22,850 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Illinois

Of the approximately 3,147,000 owner-occupied houses in Illinois in 2016, 2,014,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,436,800 taxpayers in Illinois claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$11,048,161,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,700 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,920 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Illinois in 2015 was \$2,762,040,250.

In 2015, 1,721,900 taxpayers in Illinois claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$11,617,134,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$6,750 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,690 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Illinois in 2015 was \$2,904,283,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$145,290,352,600. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Illinois in 2016 was \$772,259,689,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Illinois could be 19%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Illinois in 2016 was \$180,300. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$33,900 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Indiana

Of the approximately 1,731,000 owner-occupied houses in Indiana in 2016, 1,135,000 or 66% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 548,500 taxpayers in Indiana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$3,482,704,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,350 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,590 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Indiana in 2015 was \$870,676,000.

In 2015, 633,500 taxpayers in Indiana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,462,641,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,300 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 580 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Indiana in 2015 was \$365,660,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$31,700,929,500. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Indiana in 2016 was \$287,653,318,100. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Indiana could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Indiana in 2016 was \$148,300. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$16,350 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Iowa

Of the approximately 881,000 owner-occupied houses in Iowa in 2016, 534,000 or 61% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 305,800 taxpayers in Iowa claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,773,999,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$5,800 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,450 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Iowa in 2015 was \$443,499,750.

In 2015, 386,000 taxpayers in Iowa claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,395,086,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,600 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 900 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Iowa in 2015 was \$348,771,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$20,314,647,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Iowa in 2016 was \$153,737,980,500. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Iowa could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Iowa in 2016 was \$154,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$20,450 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Kansas

Of the approximately 729,000 owner-occupied houses in Kansas in 2016, 436,000 or 60% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 247,200 taxpayers in Kansas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,624,831,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,640 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Kansas in 2015 was \$406,207,750.

In 2015, 307,100 taxpayers in Kansas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,100,444,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,600 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 900 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Kansas in 2015 was \$275,111,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$17,469,711,500. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Kansas in 2016 was \$133,882,931,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Kansas could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Kansas in 2016 was \$176,000. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$22,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Kentucky

Of the approximately 1,148,000 owner-occupied houses in Kentucky in 2016, 674,000 or 59% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 381,100 taxpayers in Kentucky claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$2,347,346,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,150 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,540 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Kentucky in 2015 was \$586,836,500.

In 2015, 439,300 taxpayers in Kentucky claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,049,922,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,400 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 600 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Kentucky in 2015 was \$262,480,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$21,777,359,000. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Kentucky in 2016 was \$195,002,568,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Kentucky could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Kentucky in 2016 was \$157,200. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$17,550 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Louisiana

Of the approximately 1,106,000 owner-occupied houses in Louisiana in 2016, 579,000 or 52% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 311,900 taxpayers in Louisiana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$2,319,145,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,450 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,860 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Louisiana in 2015 was \$579,786,250.

In 2015, 328,600 taxpayers in Louisiana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$719,567,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,200 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 550 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Louisiana in 2015 was \$179,891,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$19,478,923,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Louisiana in 2016 was \$213,978,182,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Louisiana could be 9%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Louisiana in 2016 was \$185,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$16,900 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Maine

Of the approximately 382,000 owner-occupied houses in Maine in 2016, 237,000 or 62% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 137,900 taxpayers in Maine claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$938,857,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,800 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,700 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Maine in 2015 was \$234,714,250.

In 2015, 166,100 taxpayers in Maine claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$725,742,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,350 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,090 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Maine in 2015 was \$181,435,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$10,670,506,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Maine in 2016 was \$86,561,756,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Maine could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Maine in 2016 was \$212,100. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$26,150 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Maryland

Of the approximately 1,446,000 owner-occupied houses in Maryland in 2016, 1,064,000 or 74% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 959,400 taxpayers in Maryland claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$9,408,283,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,800 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,450 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Maryland in 2015 was \$2,352,070,750.

In 2015, 1,079,700 taxpayers in Maryland claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$4,749,785,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,400 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,100 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Maryland in 2015 was \$1,187,446,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$90,756,846,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Maryland in 2016 was \$532,499,564,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Maryland could be 17%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Maryland in 2016 was \$259,200. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$44,200 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Massachusetts

Of the approximately 1,599,000 owner-occupied houses in Massachusetts in 2016, 1,112,000 or 70% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 943,700 taxpayers in Massachusetts claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$8,627,154,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,150 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,290 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Massachusetts in 2015 was \$2,156,788,500.

In 2015, 1,118,400 taxpayers in Massachusetts claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$6,974,424,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$6,250 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,560 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Massachusetts in 2015 was \$1,743,606,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$100,010,115,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Massachusetts in 2016 was \$709,094,588,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Massachusetts could be 14%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Massachusetts in 2016 was \$350,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$49,450 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Michigan

Of the approximately 2,731,000 owner-occupied houses in Michigan in 2016, 1,657,000 or 61% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 956,700 taxpayers in Michigan claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$6,246,732,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,630 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Michigan in 2015 was \$1,561,683,000.

In 2015, 1,142,500 taxpayers in Michigan claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$4,593,080,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,000 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,010 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Michigan in 2015 was \$1,148,270,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$69,485,974,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Michigan in 2016 was \$498,384,084,200. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Michigan could be 14%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Michigan in 2016 was \$153,500. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$21,400 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Minnesota

Of the approximately 1,532,000 owner-occupied houses in Minnesota in 2016, 1,030,000 or 67% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 736,200 taxpayers in Minnesota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$5,690,162,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,750 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,930 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Minnesota in 2015 was \$1,422,540,500.

In 2015, 865,600 taxpayers in Minnesota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$3,130,867,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,600 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 900 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Minnesota in 2015 was \$782,716,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$56,545,057,700. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Minnesota in 2016 was \$377,828,528,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Minnesota could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Minnesota in 2016 was \$221,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$33,150 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Mississippi

Of the approximately 734,000 owner-occupied houses in Mississippi in 2016, 368,000 or 50% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 188,100 taxpayers in Mississippi claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,199,593,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,400 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,590 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Mississippi in 2015 was \$299,898,250.

In 2015, 218,700 taxpayers in Mississippi claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$447,824,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,050 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 510 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Mississippi in 2015 was \$111,956,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$10,560,365,400. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Mississippi in 2016 was \$112,152,646,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Mississippi could be 9%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Mississippi in 2016 was \$146,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$13,800 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Missouri

Of the approximately 1,568,000 owner-occupied houses in Missouri in 2016, 970,000 or 62% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 548,100 taxpayers in Missouri claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$3,654,037,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,650 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,670 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Missouri in 2015 was \$913,509,250.

In 2015, 649,800 taxpayers in Missouri claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,986,428,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,050 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 760 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Missouri in 2015 was \$496,607,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$36,156,826,900. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Missouri in 2016 was \$301,293,523,000. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Missouri could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Missouri in 2016 was \$155,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$18,650 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Montana

Of the approximately 283,000 owner-occupied houses in Montana in 2016, 160,000 or 57% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 102,600 taxpayers in Montana claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$775,893,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,890 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Montana in 2015 was \$193,973,250.

In 2015, 126,300 taxpayers in Montana claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$358,564,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,850 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 710 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Montana in 2015 was \$89,641,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$7,272,160,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Montana in 2016 was \$73,204,326,200. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Montana could be 10%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Montana in 2016 was \$193,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$19,250 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Nebraska

Of the approximately 488,000 owner-occupied houses in Nebraska in 2016, 295,000 or 60% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 179,000 taxpayers in Nebraska claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,082,986,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,050 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,510 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Nebraska in 2015 was \$270,746,500.

In 2015, 219,000 taxpayers in Nebraska claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$897,790,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,100 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,020 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Nebraska in 2015 was \$224,447,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$12,697,282,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Nebraska in 2016 was \$88,174,146,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Nebraska could be 14%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Nebraska in 2016 was \$171,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$24,700 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Nevada

Of the approximately 579,000 owner-occupied houses in Nevada in 2016, 394,000 or 68% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 248,200 taxpayers in Nevada claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$2,319,736,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,350 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,340 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Nevada in 2015 was \$579,934,000.

In 2015, 272,000 taxpayers in Nevada claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$814,764,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,000 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 750 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Nevada in 2015 was \$203,691,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$20,092,948,700. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Nevada in 2016 was \$164,958,271,600. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Nevada could be 12%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Nevada in 2016 was \$229,300. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$27,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Hampshire

Of the approximately 365,000 owner-occupied houses in New Hampshire in 2016, 243,000 or 67% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 173,100 taxpayers in New Hampshire claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,405,989,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,100 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,030 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Hampshire in 2015 was \$351,497,250.

In 2015, 203,900 taxpayers in New Hampshire claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,500,413,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$7,350 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,840 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Hampshire in 2015 was \$375,103,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$18,630,782,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Hampshire in 2016 was \$103,499,877,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Hampshire could be 18%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Hampshire in 2016 was \$234,100. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$42,150 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Jersey

Of the approximately 2,020,000 owner-occupied houses in New Jersey in 2016, 1,364,000 or 68% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,255,800 taxpayers in New Jersey claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$11,312,294,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$9,000 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,250 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Jersey in 2015 was \$2,828,073,500.

In 2015, 1,567,800 taxpayers in New Jersey claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$14,879,523,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$9,500 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,370 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Jersey in 2015 was \$3,719,880,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$167,896,262,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Jersey in 2016 was \$790,390,201,700. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Jersey could be 21%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Jersey in 2016 was \$258,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$54,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New Mexico

Of the approximately 511,000 owner-occupied houses in New Mexico in 2016, 280,000 or 55% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 155,300 taxpayers in New Mexico claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,240,890,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,000 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,000 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New Mexico in 2015 was \$310,222,500.

In 2015, 178,100 taxpayers in New Mexico claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$472,581,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,650 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 660 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New Mexico in 2015 was \$118,145,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$10,983,788,500. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New Mexico in 2016 was \$115,169,853,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New Mexico could be 10%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New Mexico in 2016 was \$190,100. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$18,150 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in New York

Of the approximately 3,841,000 owner-occupied houses in New York in 2016, 2,348,000 or 61% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,966,700 taxpayers in New York claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$17,162,887,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,750 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,180 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in New York in 2015 was \$4,290,721,750.

In 2015, 2,394,300 taxpayers in New York claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$20,905,561,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$8,750 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,180 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in New York in 2015 was \$5,226,390,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$244,028,512,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in New York in 2016 was \$1,671,140,666,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in New York could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in New York in 2016 was \$228,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$33,450 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in North Carolina

Of the approximately 2,493,000 owner-occupied houses in North Carolina in 2016, 1,581,000 or 63% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 997,900 taxpayers in North Carolina claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$7,525,741,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,890 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in North Carolina in 2015 was \$1,881,435,250.

In 2015, 1,143,000 taxpayers in North Carolina claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$3,405,847,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,000 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 740 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in North Carolina in 2015 was \$851,461,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$70,074,282,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in North Carolina in 2016 was \$533,735,791,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in North Carolina could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in North Carolina in 2016 was \$179,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$23,600 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in North Dakota

Of the approximately 199,000 owner-occupied houses in North Dakota in 2016, 107,000 or 54% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 45,600 taxpayers in North Dakota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$358,372,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,850 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,960 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in North Dakota in 2015 was \$89,593,000.

In 2015, 54,600 taxpayers in North Dakota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$182,324,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$3,350 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 840 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in North Dakota in 2015 was \$45,581,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$3,466,000,000. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in North Dakota in 2016 was \$41,160,169,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in North Dakota could be 8%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in North Dakota in 2016 was \$213,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$17,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Ohio

Of the approximately 3,023,000 owner-occupied houses in Ohio in 2016, 1,910,000 or 63% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,116,400 taxpayers in Ohio claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$6,758,020,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,050 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,510 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Ohio in 2015 was \$1,689,505,000.

In 2015, 1,290,200 taxpayers in Ohio claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$5,439,060,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,200 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,050 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Ohio in 2015 was \$1,359,765,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$78,186,410,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Ohio in 2016 was \$524,902,031,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Ohio could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Ohio in 2016 was \$138,000. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$20,550 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Oklahoma

Of the approximately 954,000 owner-occupied houses in Oklahoma in 2016, 533,000 or 56% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 273,400 taxpayers in Oklahoma claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,821,253,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,650 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,670 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Oklahoma in 2015 was \$455,313,250.

In 2015, 328,300 taxpayers in Oklahoma claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$860,372,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,600 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 660 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Oklahoma in 2015 was \$215,093,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$17,189,903,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Oklahoma in 2016 was \$160,175,935,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Oklahoma could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Oklahoma in 2016 was \$143,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$15,400 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Oregon

Of the approximately 970,000 owner-occupied houses in Oregon in 2016, 643,000 or 66% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 511,300 taxpayers in Oregon claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$4,276,373,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,350 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,090 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Oregon in 2015 was \$1,069,093,250.

In 2015, 599,500 taxpayers in Oregon claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$2,471,667,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,100 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,030 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Oregon in 2015 was \$617,916,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$43,256,666,700. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Oregon in 2016 was \$320,799,161,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Oregon could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Oregon in 2016 was \$312,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$42,200 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Pennsylvania

Of the approximately 3,383,000 owner-occupied houses in Pennsylvania in 2016, 2,039,000 or 60% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,346,400 taxpayers in Pennsylvania claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$9,657,540,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,150 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,790 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Pennsylvania in 2015 was \$2,414,385,000.

In 2015, 1,593,800 taxpayers in Pennsylvania claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$8,228,274,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,150 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,290 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Pennsylvania in 2015 was \$2,057,068,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$114,652,653,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Pennsylvania in 2016 was \$741,821,671,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Pennsylvania could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Pennsylvania in 2016 was \$180,800. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$27,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Rhode Island

Of the approximately 237,000 owner-occupied houses in Rhode Island in 2016, 159,000 or 67% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 136,500 taxpayers in Rhode Island claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$1,033,014,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,550 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,890 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Rhode Island in 2015 was \$258,253,500.

In 2015, 158,700 taxpayers in Rhode Island claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$863,080,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,450 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,360 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Rhode Island in 2015 was \$215,770,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$12,154,448,700. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Rhode Island in 2016 was \$71,101,012,000. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Rhode Island could be 17%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Rhode Island in 2016 was \$238,000. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$40,700 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in South Carolina

Of the approximately 1,289,000 owner-occupied houses in South Carolina in 2016, 749,000 or 58% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 455,300 taxpayers in South Carolina claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$3,390,802,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,450 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,860 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in South Carolina in 2015 was \$847,700,500.

In 2015, 524,700 taxpayers in South Carolina claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,140,772,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,150 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 540 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in South Carolina in 2015 was \$285,193,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$29,048,551,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in South Carolina in 2016 was \$270,188,217,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in South Carolina could be 11%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in South Carolina in 2016 was \$185,900. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$20,000 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in South Dakota

Of the approximately 224,000 owner-occupied houses in South Dakota in 2016, 126,000 or 56% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 48,800 taxpayers in South Dakota claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$360,208,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,400 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,850 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in South Dakota in 2015 was \$90,052,000.

In 2015, 60,400 taxpayers in South Dakota claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$240,475,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,000 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 990 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in South Dakota in 2015 was \$60,118,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$3,850,532,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in South Dakota in 2016 was \$43,303,870,900. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in South Dakota could be 9%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in South Dakota in 2016 was \$184,000. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$16,350 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Tennessee

Of the approximately 1,664,000 owner-occupied houses in Tennessee in 2016, 989,000 or 59% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 437,700 taxpayers in Tennessee claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$3,500,011,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,000 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,000 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Tennessee in 2015 was \$875,002,750.

In 2015, 505,400 taxpayers in Tennessee claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$1,427,847,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,850 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 710 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Tennessee in 2015 was \$356,961,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$31,588,833,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Tennessee in 2016 was \$338,921,280,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Tennessee could be 9%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Tennessee in 2016 was \$160,800. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$15,000 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Texas

Of the approximately 5,825,000 owner-occupied houses in Texas in 2016, 3,366,000 or 58% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 2,010,500 taxpayers in Texas claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$15,721,642,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,800 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,950 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Texas in 2015 was \$3,930,410,500.

In 2015, 2,326,800 taxpayers in Texas claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$14,824,106,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$6,350 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,590 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Texas in 2015 was \$3,706,026,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$195,806,076,900. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Texas in 2016 was \$1,275,637,601,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Texas could be 15%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Texas in 2016 was \$214,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$32,950 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Utah

Of the approximately 659,000 owner-occupied houses in Utah in 2016, 468,000 or 71% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 353,300 taxpayers in Utah claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$2,920,755,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,250 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,070 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Utah in 2015 was \$730,188,750.

In 2015, 401,900 taxpayers in Utah claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$975,996,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,450 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 610 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Utah in 2015 was \$243,999,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$24,979,173,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Utah in 2016 was \$195,523,773,300. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Utah could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Utah in 2016 was \$186,600. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$23,850 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Vermont

Of the approximately 178,000 owner-occupied houses in Vermont in 2016, 114,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 67,700 taxpayers in Vermont claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$474,171,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$7,000 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,750 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Vermont in 2015 was \$118,542,750.

In 2015, 83,100 taxpayers in Vermont claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$496,332,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$5,950 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,490 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Vermont in 2015 was \$124,083,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$6,221,173,100. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Vermont in 2016 was \$45,746,955,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Vermont could be 14%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Vermont in 2016 was \$207,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$28,250 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Virginia

Of the approximately 2,038,000 owner-occupied houses in Virginia in 2016, 1,409,000 or 69% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 1,129,800 taxpayers in Virginia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$11,463,795,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$10,150 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,540 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Virginia in 2015 was \$2,865,948,750.

In 2015, 1,266,000 taxpayers in Virginia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$5,155,762,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,050 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,020 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Virginia in 2015 was \$1,288,940,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$106,535,621,800. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Virginia in 2016 was \$685,517,213,200. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Virginia could be 16%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Virginia in 2016 was \$313,300. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$48,700 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Washington

Of the approximately 1,729,000 owner-occupied houses in Washington in 2016, 1,193,000 or 69% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 839,500 taxpayers in Washington claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$8,610,489,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$10,250 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,560 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Washington in 2015 was \$2,152,622,250.

In 2015, 950,000 taxpayers in Washington claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$4,457,644,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,700 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,170 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Washington in 2015 was \$1,114,411,000.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$83,770,083,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Washington in 2016 was \$656,616,186,800. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Washington could be 13%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Washington in 2016 was \$342,500. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$43,700 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in West Virginia

Of the approximately 523,000 owner-occupied houses in West Virginia in 2016, 251,000 or 48% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 99,300 taxpayers in West Virginia claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$668,728,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,750 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,680 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in West Virginia in 2015 was \$167,182,000.

In 2015, 115,400 taxpayers in West Virginia claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$201,141,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$1,750 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 440 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in West Virginia in 2015 was \$50,285,250.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$5,576,083,300. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in West Virginia in 2016 was \$80,894,389,400. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in West Virginia could be 7%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in West Virginia in 2016 was \$146,400. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$10,100 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Wisconsin

Of the approximately 1,551,000 owner-occupied houses in Wisconsin in 2016, 994,000 or 64% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 669,400 taxpayers in Wisconsin claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$4,137,090,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$6,200 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,550 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Wisconsin in 2015 was \$1,034,272,500.

In 2015, 809,600 taxpayers in Wisconsin claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$3,783,774,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$4,650 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$1,170 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Wisconsin in 2015 was \$945,943,500.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$50,774,769,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Wisconsin in 2016 was \$326,402,872,200. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Wisconsin could be 16%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Wisconsin in 2016 was \$178,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$27,800 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.

Facts on the Mortgage Interest and Real Estate Tax Deductions in Wyoming

Of the approximately 154,000 owner-occupied houses in Wyoming in 2016, 87,000 or 57% had a mortgage.

In 2015, 46,000 taxpayers in Wyoming claimed a deduction for mortgage interest (MID). The total amount deducted was \$411,040,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the MID subtracted \$8,950 from taxable income in 2015 as a result of the MID.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent¹, this means that the average taxpayer saved \$2,240 in taxes as a result of the MID. The total tax savings from the MID in Wyoming in 2015 was \$102,760,000.

In 2015, 53,700 taxpayers in Wyoming claimed a deduction for real estate taxes. The total amount deducted was \$145,371,000. This means that the average taxpayer claiming the real estate tax deduction subtracted \$2,700 from taxable income in 2015.

At a marginal rate of 25 percent², this means that the average taxpayer saved \$ 680 in taxes as a result of the real estate tax deduction. The total savings from the real estate tax deduction in Wyoming in 2015 was \$36,342,750.

If the MID and real estate tax deductions were eliminated, the loss would not be a one-year event; homeowners lose out on these potential savings each and every year. The present value³ of these lost savings could total \$3,566,737,200. The value of all owner-occupied real estate in Wyoming in 2016 was \$43,055,853,100. If the lost tax savings are fully capitalized into the price of houses, the average decline in value in Wyoming could be 8%. From the individual perspective, the median priced home in Wyoming in 2016 was \$186,700. A decline in value as projected could mean a loss in home value of \$15,450 for the typical home owner.

¹ Marginal rates range from 10 to 39.6 percent.

² Ibid.

³ Present value calculation assumes 3.9 percent discount rate and 1000 year time horizon.