NAR Regulatory Report January 2015

NAR tracks numerous regulatory issues impacting consumers and REALTORS®. This is a highlight of the issues being addressed that have the potential of seeing government action.

*The Regulatory Rating column on the right is an informal way to grade the issue at this point in time regarding the impact of regulatory action on consumers and REALTORS®.

FEDERAL HOUSING POLICY	*Regulatory Rating
FHA Eliminates Prepayment Penalty	(+)
• In August 2014, the Department of Housing and Urban Development issued a proposed rule to eliminate Federal Housing Administration (FHA) post-payment interest charges.	
• The National Association of REALTORS® (NAR) is thrilled with this change. NAR has urged FHA and Ginnie Mae to remove this prepayment penalty for more than ten years.	
• The policy change prohibits mortgagees from charging borrowers interest on their home mortgages for days or weeks after a principal balance pay-off.	
• The final rule went into effect on January 21, 2015	
Dual Agency for FHA Pre-Foreclosure Sales (PFS)	(+)
• In the summer of 2013, HUD put out a Mortgagee Letter that eliminated dual agency for FHA short sales. On July 10, 2014, at NAR's urging, HUD rescinded the provision and issued revised Mortgagee Letter 2014-15.	
• The new guidance will preserve dual agency agreements in FHA short sales, but require PFS properties to be listed for at least 15 calendar days before any offers are evaluated. After the 15-day period, offers may be evaluated as they are received. If multiple offers are received, the listing agent or broker must forward the offer that provides the highest net return to HUD and meets HUD's criteria for bid requirements.	
Homeowners Armed with Knowledge (HAWK)	(+)
 In June 2014, NAR provided comments to FHA in support of on HAWK, a proposed four-year, two-phase housing counseling pilot that will provide FHA pricing incentives to first-time homebuyers. Unfortunately, the omnibus spending measure explicitly prohibits implementation funding for HAWK, so it will not be implemented in 2015. 	, ,
Condo Proposed Rule	(+)
• FHA plans to issue a proposed rule on condos in 2015.	()
• FHA has indicated that the rule may reinstate spot loans for condos on a limited basis.	
• NAR has urged HUD to ease the onerous certification process that is making it difficult for properties	
to gain FHA certification.	
Federal Housing Administration (FHA) Premium Reduction	(-)
• In January 2015, President Obama announced that FHA would reduce FHA annual mortgage insurance premiums (MIP) by 50 basis points. This reduction is a victory for the National Association of REALTORS® whose members have called for lower fees on FHA loans since early 2014. NAR estimates that this could result in 90,000 to 140,000 new home purchases.	()

LEGEND	(+) PRO	(–) CON	(+/-) PROS & CONS
--------	---------	---------	-------------------



Federal Housing Administration (FHA) Premium Reduction (Cont'd)	(-)
• The FY 2014 Actuarial Review shows that FHA's insurance fund has gained \$6 billion over the past year	()
and the current economic net worth has improved to a positive \$4.8 billion.	
• Now that the Fund is on a path to recovery, FHA is able to lower its annual mortgage insurance	
premiums. This policy change will increase the volume of borrowers acquiring FHA-backed loans and	
contribute to the solvency of the Fund.	
• NAR sent a letter to HUD in the beginning of April as well as a coalition letter in early January urging	
FHA to make these changes. Other groups encouraging a premium reduction include CHLA and MBA.	
FHA Seller Concessions	(+/-)
• A final rule on seller concessions has cleared OMB and is anticipated to be released soon.	
• In February 2012, HUD issued a notice proposing reduced closing costs a seller may pay on behalf of	
the borrower to the greater of 3 percent or \$6,000. NAR recommends allowing higher seller concession	
in areas of the country with higher closing costs, and recommends that payments of homeowners	
association (HOA) fees not be prohibited in the final rule.	
FHA Supplemental Performance Metric	(+)
• FHA released a proposed performance metric to be used in addition to the existing Lender Compare	
Ratio. This will allow FHA to provide a more comprehensive assessment of lender performance.	
• NAR submitted comments to FHA on June 5, 2014 supporting the metric. NAR hopes this new tool	
will encourage lenders to loosen credit overlays and ease the fear of back-end enforcement actions by	
FHA.	
VA Allowable Fees	(+)
• The Department of Veterans Affairs announced that it would be issuing a new circular to allow veterans	
to pay wood destroying insect inspection fees in select south and western states.	
• NAR has worked closely with VA to find ways to make the veteran loan process less	
cumbersome. NAR has urged VA to allow buyers to pay certain fees, such as pest inspections. While	
pest inspection fee payments will not be allowed in all states, this policy change is a step in the right	
direction. NAR will continue to work with the VA on a larger rule affecting Veterans' ability to	
negotiate fees and charges.	
RHS Rural in Character Designations	(+/-)
• In September 2014, USDA Secretary Vilsack suspended work on determinations and any designations	
that would make a place ineligible based solely on "rural in character" criteria until the end of September	
2015	
• NAR send a letter to Vilsack urging RHS to implement a consistent and transparent process across	
states that allows communities to request re-designation if they disagree with their respective state	
office's eligibility determinations. RHS Loan Application Backlog	(±/)
	(+/-)
• RHS is experiencing loan processing delays in several states due to budget constraints	
• RHS has authorized overtime for staff to tackle backlogs and will allow offices to work across state lines	
to help each other to manage workflow.	
Private Transfer Fees	(+/-)
• On April 23, 2014, NAR signed onto a coalition letter urging FHA to harmonize its regulatory treatment	(' /)
of transfer fee covenants with the Federal Housing Finance Agency's (FHFA) policy.	
• FHFA's final rule on transfer fee covenants establishes a clear, national standard to protect homeowners	
. 1	
from equity-stripping private transfer fees while preserving the preeminence of state and local	
governments over land use standards. Fees that increase the costs of housing can disenfranchise those who wish to obtain the American dream; however, fees that provide a direct benefit to homeowners and	
improve the property are legitimate and should be permitted.	
FHA Handbook	(+)
• FHA is in the process of consolidating more than 900 mortgagee letters and other policy guidance into a	(.)
• 1-11/1 is in the process of consolidating more than 200 mortgagee letters and other policy guidance into a	

single document for all Single Family program.	
• NAR has provided comments to FHA on sections including Application through Endorsement,	
Appraisals, 203(k) and Servicing.	
CONVENTIONAL FINANCING – DODD FRANK LAW	
QM/QRM/3% Cap	
• Several rules have been proposed or finalized.	
• QM/Ability to Repay – dodged a bullet.	(+)
 Most Fannie, Freddie, FHA, VA, RHS loans have a safe harbor. Loans with 43% DTI or less also get safe harbor. 	()
Č	
 QRM rule was finalized in October 2014. Eliminates 20% downpayment and tight DTI ratios. 	(+)
Tracks broad QM.	
■ Exempts FHA and GSEs from risk retention.	
• 3% Cap on fees and points for QM.	(–)
Things counted towards the cap: title charges, escrow for taxes and insurance, mortgage broker	
compensation, GSE LLPAs.	
• CFPB has proposed cure period so honest mistakes can be rectified and they have offered oral guidance	
that only retained fees be counted toward the cap.	
GSE Affordable Housing Goals	(+/-)
• The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) issued a proposed rule to establish housing goals for the GSEs for 2015-2017.	
• On October 28, 2014, NAR submitted comments:	
 NAR supports, ambitious, but reasonable, affordable housing goals and flexibility for the Enterprises to meet those goals. 	
■ FHFA should examine policies at the GSEs and their lender customers that are contributing to reduced market forecasts.	
• NAR supports a dual test wherein a GSE is considered to be in compliance if its performance meets or exceeds either (1) the share of the actual market that qualifies for the particular goal, or (2) the benchmark level for that goal.	
■ NAR supports the dual test for goals compliance since market conditions can erode and make	
achieving the goals with sustainable mortgages impossible.	(1./.)
RESPA/TILA Harmonization	(+/-)
• CFPB listened to NAR in producing the new RESPA/TILA Rule which goes into effect in August 2015	
 NAR had argued for nearly three years that CFPB should focus on: Harmonizing the upfront disclosures, the Good Faith Estimate (GFE) and the Truth in Lending 	
disclosure (TIL), and	
 Not fundamentally change the settlement process by implementing a broad 3 day waiting period 	
when there are changes to the closing disclosure	
• CFPB essentially maintained the TILA aspects of the three day waiting period- requiring a new	
disclosure and tolling period if the APR changed by one eighth of a point, if the loan type changes, or	
other significant changes to the loan itself like if a prepayment penalty is added.	
• This is a major change from what was proposed. However there is potential for issues with closings	
nonetheless and NAR is working with CFPB and industry to try to address these issues.	
Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) - Guarantee and loan level pricing fees	(-)
• In June 2014, FHFA announced that it was requesting input on the guarantee fees (g-fees) that Fannie	
Mae and Freddie Mac charge lenders. FHFA's Request for Input includes questions related to g-fee	
policy and implementation regarding the optimum level of g-fees required to protect taxpayers and implications for mortgage credit availability. Input must be received no later than September 8, 2014	
 implications for mortgage credit availability. Input must be received no later than September 8, 2014. Following up on a September 2013 letter, NAR sent a letter on September 8, 2014 to FHFA opposing a 	
proposed increase in fees charged to lenders for loan sold to the GSEs:	

 Opposes policies that raise fees so high that it directly impacts the cost and, ultimately, the eligibility 	
of many homebuyers.	
 Opposes policies that raise fees so high that may make many homebuyers ineligible for loans. 	
 Opposes FHFA policies that will result in billions of dollars of profits for the Enterprises at the 	
expense of home buying taxpayers. Guarantee fees should protect taxpayers against losses, not	
increase profits.	
• Raising the costs of homeownership through increased g-fees and high LLPAs will not bring	
back the PLS market more quickly. Instead, it is likely to simply drive borrowers either to FHA	
or out of the market entirely.	
• An analysis of just what it would take to achieve bringing private capitol back is crucial, especially if the	
policies do not achieve the intended and possibly unattainable goal of increasing private sector lending	
in the mortgage market in the current environment.	
Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) – Conforming loan limits	(+)
• In a speech in May 2014, FHFA Director Mel Watt announced that as part of an effort to make credit	
more available to individuals, he would direct the Enterprises to leave the loan limits at the current floor	
of \$417,000 and high cost cap \$625,500.	
Based on regulatory comment deadline, it does not appear there will be a limit reduction in 2014.	
• FHFA published a request for information on reducing the loan limit floor from \$417,000 to \$400,000	
and high cost cap from \$625,500 to \$600,000. NAR provided comments to NAR on March 20, 2014:	
■ In the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008 (HERA), Congress made ensuring the	
Enterprises serve as a reliable source of liquidity and funding for housing finance and community	
investment a principal duty of the Director and therefore a policy to reduce the loan limits is	
contrary to this principal.	
 Private capital has still not returned in any significant way. Even those who may be able to qualify 	
for private financing would not have access to a 30 year mortgage since banks are hesitant to offer	
the loans to any but the most affluent borrowers.	
· ·	
• While Congress granted FHFA, as conservator, broad authority as part of HERA, we believe that it	
was to be exercised within the law granting that authority which made the policy not to reduce loan	
limits permanent in section 1124.	
• If pursuing a reduction in loan limits is an important policy objective, FHFA should not override	
congressional policy that loan limits not be reduced and alternatively, request that Congress address the	
issue again.	
Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) - Private mortgage insurer capital requirements	(+/-)
FHFA released proposed capital requirements for mortgage insurance companies that provide credit	
protection coverage for low down payment loans sold and guaranteed by the GSEs. Much like other	
capital requirements rules, increased mortgage costs are likely to come with the new requirements. In	
being mindful of the impact these rules will have on borrowers, and ultimately, our members'	
businesses, we have drafted brief comments focused on three points:	
■ FHFA should ensure that the requirements have minimal impact on creditworthy borrowers and	
analyze the likelihood of mortgage insurance premium increases on insured loans.	
■ Should private investors continue to expect MI companies to achieve substantial return on equity,	
FHFA should monitor the market impact on mortgage insurance rates and monthly mortgage	
payments.	
■ The PMIERs asset and liquidity requirements should be based on the performance of traditionally	
underwritten mortgage products and normal housing economics, not solely on economics that were	
dictated by the performance of risky mortgages that led to dramatic swings in prices during the	
previous decades housing boom.	
The Treasury Department published a request for Public Input on Development of Responsible	(+)
Private Label Securities (PLS) Market.	
NAR believes that the federal government must clearly, and explicitly, offer a guarantee of mortgage	
securities.	

• Full privatization is not an effective option for a secondary market because private firms' business strategies will focus on optimizing their revenues and profits'; • Finalizing the QRM rule will provide the certainty of a regulatory framework for securitization; • NAR is generally supportive of the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau's (CFPB's) Qualified Mortgage (QM) rule and believe this standard should be used to define the Qualified Residential Mortgage (QRM) in any future housing finance system; • Private industry participants must address the lack of trust in the PLS space as it still remains and is hard to quantify as a cost that can be made up with arbitrary fee increases at the GSEs; • A uniform securitization platform should be required for issuers of government guaranteed securities and be open to use by issuers of private label securities. Transparency and uniformity in the mortgage securities market will go a long way to minimizing conflict and confusion and promoting stability. **ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY** FEMA's Implementation of New Changes to the National Flood Insurance Program (+) • In March, the Grimm-Waters Homeowners Flood Insurance Affordability Act was signed into law • This bill slows down premium increases for flood insurance, making flood insurance more affordable for homeowners. It also puts the NFIP on a gradual glide path to fiscal solvency and actuarial responsibility. • FEMA has already implemented several critical provisions of the GW14, including the "assumability" provision and new rate tables. Beginning October 1, 2014, insurance companies will begin to issue refunds for homeowners who have overpaid for their Flood Insurance Policy. This has helped to alleviate many of the dramatic increases in their flood insurance homeowners were facing. • FEMA has appointed an Interim Flood Insurance Advocate, who will assist consumers and property owners with concerns and questions about flood insurance. However, NAR is encouraging FEMA to expand these responsibilities so the Advocate has teeth and can really help property owners reduce their flood insurance costs. Waters of the U.S. - Clean Water Act (-)• The Clean Water Act (CWA) regulates "Navigable" waters – EPA/Corps want to regulate more waters - all waters! Proposed regulation includes many waters now excluded from the CWA - this will result in more bureaucracy, time-consuming and expensive permits and less development. Proposed regulation was published in March; comments were due on Nov. 14, 2014. • EPA's cost benefit analysis is very weak and dramatically underestimates the economic impact on many industry sectors. • NAR submitted extensive comments opposing the rule as part of an on-going, broad-based coalition of regulated stakeholders. NAR also helped NAR members to submit individual comments on how this rule would impact their business. • Rule is scheduled to be finalized in April, 2015. NAR, as part of the Coalition, is also working on a legislative strategy that would strip EPA's funding to implement this regulation. Lead Paint Hazards in Renovating and Remodeling Commercial Buildings (+/-)• EPA is in the process of proposing new rules on renovation, repair and painting activities in commercial buildings that would address lead paint hazards. The purpose of these rules is to control hazards stemming from lead paint in commercial buildings when these RRP activities are conducted. • EPA has recently announced that a proposed rule in this area has been delayed until the Agency can collect additional information and data, and a revised timeline for the regulatory process can be developed. At this time, EPA has not issued any information as to when this process will resume. • In the interim, NAR will participate in a panel that will assess the economic impacts of this proposed rulemaking on small businesses. This panel will begin meeting in late 2014 and be finalized by the summer of 2015 • NAR, as part of a broad coalition of regulated stakeholders, has submitted comments on various EPA documents and approaches related to this issue. In general the comments have focused on the many data gaps in the science and flawed cost-benefit analysis.

COMMERCIAL	
FHLB Proposes Membership Change	(+/-)
• The Federal Home Loan Bank system proposed a change to its membership rules that would put new	
requirements in place for existing banks, change the relationship between the FHLB and member banks,	
and make membership more restrictive.	
Most of the changes focused on the Banks' mission of providing home mortgage liquidity, but would	
restrict the Banks' evolving activities in other lending sectors such as captive insurers and REITs.	
• NAR submitted a comment letter highlighting its support of the Banks' core mission, but underscoring the needs for continued variety in Bank membership and activities.	
FAA Building Height Restriction Proposal	(-)
Current FAA rules, along with local zoning ordinances, restrict building height near airports.	
New proposal from FAA would limit building height near airports.	
• The proposed rule does not follow the required administrative procedures, and the rule would have a	
negative impact on buildings within the defined zone near airports.	
• NAR is working with a coalition to oppose both the rule and the way the FAA is introducing it.	
• The coalition submitted its comments to the FAA and will continue to monitor the issue	
Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (Drones)	(+/-)
• Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) are currently being used for a variety of uses by law	
enforcement, scientists, national security and hobbyists.	
• Real estate professionals have expressed an interest in using them to take pictures and videos of	
property for marketing purposes to sell the property.	
• Current policy under the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) prohibits the use of UAVs for	
any commercial purpose, such taking pictures or creating videos to sell property.	
• In 2012, Congress directed the FAA to develop a regulatory framework by 2015 that would	
integrate UAVs into the national airspace, while ensuring safety, privacy and national security.	
• The FAA is on track to develop these regulations within the statutory timeframe. Current NAR policy is	
• NAR submitted comments in response to an FAA statement about the regulations to ensure	
that safety, privacy and national security concerns are addressed, but also making sure that the	
rules are not overly cumbersome, burdensome and expensive for REALTORS® to use and	
market the property if they choose to do so.	
• NAR has been invited by the FAA to participate in an UAV use rules working group	
NAR has met with FAA officials and NAR staff is now working with members to determine	
next steps.	
• The NAR Risk Management Committee adopted a member policy at the November 2014 NAR Board	
of Directors Meeting.	
Lease Accounting/FASB 13	(+)
• The current method for accounting for leases in a business balance sheet is up for review by the	()
Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), which is the designated, private sector organization for	
standards set in accounting. The FASB is overseen by the SEC.	
NAR is working with a coalition that includes industry partners such as the Real Estate Roundtable and	
NAIOP to work with the SEC and the relevant Members of Congress on the issue.	
• A new proposal would have caused significant changes to the way companies account for leases, but it	
appears that the FASB will retain the status quo accounting methods.	
• A final rule from the FASB will be released in late 2014 or early 2015.	

APPRAISAL	
Appraisal Management Companies (AMC) Proposed Rule	(+/-)
 Six federal regulatory agencies has issued a proposed rule on minimum state registration requirements for Appraisal Management Companies Participating States must require that AMCs: (1) Register with and be supervised by the State appraiser 	, ,
certifying and licensing agency in the State or States in which such company operates; (2) verify that only State-certified or State-licensed appraisers are used for Federally related transactions; (3) require that appraisals comply with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP); and (4) require that appraisals are conducted in accordance with the appraisal independence standards under the Truth in Lending Act (TILA).	
• NAR Submitted comments on June 6, 2014. Fannie Mae Collateral Underwriter (CU)	(+/-)
• At the end of January 2015, Fannie Mae will make Collateral Underwriter (CU), an appraisal risk-assessment tool, available to lenders.	
• NAR members are concerned that this could add time to the appraisal process and force appraisers to use lower-value, lower-quality comps—a tool that appears to encourage lower-value appraisals. Fannie Mae, however, hopes that the tool will be superior to current lender check-lists and engagement letters and feels it will prevent some of the call-backs appraisers receive from underwriters for additional or lower comps.	
BUSINESS	
Affordable Care Act	(+)
 Final IRS regulations issued under the Affordable Care Act (ACA) recognize "qualified real estate agents" as "non-employees" for purposes of the ACA employer mandate. The ACA employer mandate requires employers with 50 or more employees to offer health insurance 	
benefits to employees working 30 hours or more, or pay a penalty. • When first proposed, the draft regulation was silent on how firms were to treat independent contractors	
when determining how many full-time equivalent employees it had.	
• This left some doubt as to whether brokerages might have to count agents working as independent contractors as employee for purposes of the ACA.	
• The need for clarification was first raised by NAR in a comment letter sent to the IRS in March 2013.	
• As a result, brokerage firms that contract the services of "qualified real estate agents" will not have to be concerned whether such agents might be construed as employees under a common law test.	(+)
Net Neutrality	(-)
• On May 15, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) voted 3-2 to open for public comment	
new rules that would abandon the policy of net neutrality and allow internet service providers (ISP) to charge content providers for faster delivery speeds.	
• Some opponents of the plan argue that allowing some content to be sent along a fast lane would	
essentially discriminate against other content.	
• NAR is concerned with this "fast lanes" proposal and is working to make sure that the FCC's final rule will treat all content on the Internet the same.	
On XX President Obama issued a statement in favor of strong open internet rules that avoid content	
discrimination and "fast lanes."	
• The FCC is expected to issue a final rule on February 26. Public comments from FCC Chair Tom Wheeler indicate a significant shift in the agency's direction—we now expect the FCC to issue strong open internet rules that prohibit discrimination of content and paid prioritization (fast lanes.)	
Big Data	(+/-)
• The U.S. Commerce Department's National Telecommunications and Information Administration	

(NTIA) is seeking public comment on how developments related to "big data" impact consumer privacy.

- "Big data" is defined as "large, diverse, complex, longitudinal, and/or distributed datasets generated from instruments, sensors, Internet transactions, email, video, click streams, and/or all other digital sources available today and in the future."
- Specifically NTIA is:
 - Seeking opinions on how to reconcile privacy principles with the benefits of data collection.
 - Asking whether data brokers pose a significant risk and, if so, whether legislation is needed.