

The Voice For Real Estate[®]

500 New Jersey Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20001-2020 202.383.1194 Fax 202.383.7580 www.realtors.org/governmentaffairs Richard F. Gaylord CIPS, CRB, CRS, GRI President

Dale A. Stinton CAE, CPA, CMA, RCE EVP/CEO

GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS Jerry Giovaniello, Senior Vice President Walter J. Witek, Jr., Vice President Gary Weaver, Vice President

HEARING BEFORE THE

U.S. SENATE COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND URBAN AFFAIRS

ENTITLED

REFORMING THE REGULATION OF THE GOVERNMENT SPONSORED ENTERPRISES

STATEMENT OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

FEBRUARY 7, 2008

 $\operatorname{REALTOR}^{\otimes}$ is a registered collective membership mark which may be used only by real estate professionals who are members of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS[®] and subscribe to its strict Code of Ethics.



The National Association of REALTORS[®] (NAR) is pleased to submit our views to the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs for the hearing entitled, "Reforming the Regulation of the Government Sponsored Enterprises." We commend Chairman Dodd and Senator Shelby for holding this hearing on the important issue of enhancing the government-sponsored enterprises (GSE) regulatory system.

The National Association of REALTORS[®] (NAR) is America's largest trade association representing more than 1.3 million members, five commercial real estate institutes and its affiliated societies and councils. REALTORS[®] are involved in all aspects of the residential and commercial real estate. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are partners in the housing industry. As such, we believe today's hearing is an important step towards consideration of legislative proposals designed to strengthen the regulation of the housing GSEs and the Federal Home Loan Banks.

NAR actively supported H.R. 1427, the "Federal Housing Finance Reform Act of 2007," introduced by Chairman Barney Frank (D-MA) together with Representatives Richard Baker (R-LA), Mel Watt (D-NC) and Gary Miller (R-CA), which overwhelmingly passed the House of Representatives on May 29, 2007 by a bipartisan vote of 313 to 104. We are eager for the Senate Banking Committee to pursue similar GSE reform legislation and ask you to consider the following elements, which we believe are important considerations in any effort to improve the regulation of the housing GSEs. They are:

- 1. Strong regulator and GSE governance;
- 2. Conforming loan limits;
- 3. Housing mission;
- 4. New program approval;
- 5. Separation of mortgage origination and the secondary market ("bright line"); and
- 6. Portfolio limits.

Strong Regulator and GSE Governance

Over the last two years, general agreement has evolved on a basic framework for a new GSE regulatory structure. That consensus strongly suggests that the current regulatory responsibilities of the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight (OFHEO), the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and the Federal Housing Finance Board should be transferred to a single, independent safety and soundness regulator for Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Home Loan Banks. This new housing enterprises regulator should have the authority to set capital standards; liquidate a financially unstable enterprise through a conservator or receiver; and approve new programs and products. The Federal Home Loan Banks should be regulated under the same framework, with due concern for its cooperative ownership by member financial institutions.

NAR supports strengthening the financial soundness regulation of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the Federal Home Loan Banks through the creation of an independent regulatory agency. Having independent, expert financial oversight will only serve to enhance confidence in the nation's housing finance system. This new regulator should have the appropriate authority and resources to oversee safety and soundness of the GSEs. The regulator also should understand and support the GSEs' vital housing finance mission and the role that housing plays in the nation's economy and public policy.

NAR also supports a continued independent, public voice in the corporate governance of the GSEs. We believe that the boards of directors of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Banks should be well balanced, composed of individuals with the knowledge and expertise necessary to oversee the full range of GSE-related issues and activities. NAR supports legislative efforts to address concerns regarding the governance of the Federal Home Loan Banks by enhancing the Banks' direct role in selecting board members, increasing the number of independent directors, adding community and economic development expertise, and allowing appointed independent directors to continue their service until a successor is in place.

Conforming Loan Limits

Under current statute, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac may only purchase mortgages that are within a cap that is determined based on an annual survey of house prices and applied nationally. While we greatly appreciate the temporary loan limit increase contemplated by Congress' economic stimulus package it is just that – temporary – and will expire on December 31, 2008. NAR has concerns about how quickly the GSEs can implement the proposed FHA-based formula for determining new loan limits based on local area median home prices and whether the increase will be in place long enough to ameliorate the difficult housing cycle we are experiencing. Thus, NAR urges the Senate to permanently increase the GSE conforming loan limits and restore the housing market to health and its traditional role as a positive contributor to the national GDP.

The GSEs were created to provide liquidity to the mortgage market. Over the decades, they have developed a secondary market for conforming loans that has generated a reliable, low-cost supply of mortgage credit in both good times and in bad. The same cannot be said of the secondary market for jumbo mortgages, as evidenced by the fact that at the end of 2007, the volume of jumbo loans dropped sharply to half of the total originations at the beginning the year. The little, if any, investor appetite for securities backed by nonconforming mortgages has resulted in a spike in interest rates for jumbo borrowers to about 1 percentage point higher than conforming loans. NAR estimates that this spread costs homeowners \$274 to \$411 every month in higher interest payments and can make or break a family's dream of homeownership

It is also worth emphasizing that NAR's forecast for the housing market continues to point toward weak market conditions, and we cannot predict with compelling certainty when buyers will return to the marketplace. We can say, though, that our research shows that, with a permanent increase in the conforming loan limits more than 300,000 additional home sales would be generated and current home prices would increase by 2 to 3 percent. The economic impact of the sale of an existing home has typically equaled 30 percent of its value. Thus, each additional home sale with a value of more than \$417,000 would generate more than \$127,000 in new economic activity and personal income.

The critical role that the GSEs play in providing liquidity to the mortgage market has never been more evident than it is today. Based on 2006 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data, jumbo mortgages represented almost one million single family, first lien mortgages originated in almost every state. While jumbo mortgages may be associated with luxury housing in some parts of the country, they represent a critical financing vehicle for large numbers of working class families who happen to live and work in more expensive areas of the country. Raising the GSEs' conforming loan limits will provide much-needed relief to jumbo borrowers and homebuyers by increasing access to safer mortgages, which is especially important for first-time homebuyers and borrowers with abusive subprime mortgages who need to refinance. Evidence indicates that borrowers in expensive markets such as California currently account for a disproportionate share of subprime mortgages. Greater access to GSE-qualifying mortgages will help promote homeownership in a safer, more sustainable way.

Finally, we would note that there is precedent for regional adjustments for high cost areas. In 1980, Congress designated Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands as high cost areas. The conforming loan limit in these statutory high cost areas is 50 percent higher than for the rest of the nation, but housing prices in these areas are no longer uniquely high. In fact, housing prices in many areas of the country now exceed those in Honolulu. NAR urges Congress to include in any GSE reform bill a permanent increase in the conforming loan limits.

Housing Mission and the Secondary Mortgage Market

Congress chartered Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac with advantages unavailable to commercial banks and other financial institutions. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac enjoy lower funding costs, the ability to operate with less capital, and lower direct costs. These advantages were and are an integral component of the GSEs' public policy mission. The advantages of GSE status have helped the secondary mortgage market grow and provided much needed stability to our nation's housing financial system.

Very simply, Congress created Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to do what no fully private company could or was willing to attempt. Unlike private secondary market investors, Fannie

Mae and Freddie Mac remain in housing markets during downturns, using their federal ties to fulfill their public purpose obligation to facilitate mortgage finance and support homeownership opportunity.

In their own ways, each of the housing enterprises has used their federal charter advantages to meet their missions. The "mechanism that widens the circle of ownership," as one observer defined the secondary mortgage market, is dynamic, robust and continually evolving – all to the benefit of mortgage originators, homebuyers, and other industry participants.

The broad expansion of homeownership, mortgage markets, as well as the related rapid growth of the GSEs has also had another effect. Until the recent credit crunch, financial services providers, many of which compete with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, questioned the GSEs' activities, function, and the continuing need for their government-chartered status. These financial companies argued that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac had an unfair advantage because of their federal charter ties. Yet these same lenders' parent banking companies have their own federal subsidies that come in the form of deposit insurance and other benefits derived from the nation's banking and financial system safety net.

REALTORS[®] believe that the GSEs' housing mission, and the benefits that derive from it, play a vital role in the continued success of our nation's housing system. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have demonstrated their commitment to housing by staying true to their mission during the current market disruptions. We have opposed and will continue to oppose legislative proposals that would reach beyond safety and soundness regulation and diminish the housing mission and role of the GSEs.

New Program Approval

Currently, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac cannot initiate a new program without first obtaining the approval of HUD. When GSE reform was considered in the 109th Congress, the issue of program approval, specifically the limitations of the current statute, was widely debated. NAR believes that any legislative proposal that attempts to address the program approval process

should not include additional regulatory requirements that could unduly delay or prevent the GSEs from developing new programs and products that support their missions.

For example, such authority should not undermine secondary market innovations based on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac credit risk management technologies. These innovations assure a smooth supply of reasonably priced mortgage credit and allow homebuyers to manage their interest rate risk when locking loans rates and terms before closing.

NAR believes that whatever approach Congress takes to address the shortcomings of the current statutory framework, the result must be flexible to promote product and program innovation and allow for prompt responses to housing market needs.

Separation of Mortgage Origination and Secondary Market

REALTORS[®] recognize and support the role that program, business and activity approval may have on the financial safety and soundness of the GSEs. However, not every new activity of the GSEs should be subject to an extended regulatory public comment process. This requirement could directly damage the GSEs' housing mission, and stifle innovation and programs that would help Americans achieve the dream of homeownership.

In the 109th Congress, one legislative proposal that NAR cautioned against was the "bright line" regulation, which would have distinguished mortgage origination from GSE secondary market activities and imposed restrictions on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac mission-related activities. One "bright line" proposal would have specifically prevented the GSEs from directly or indirectly participating in mortgage origination and may have required Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to divest themselves of their automated underwriting systems, upon which many banks rely.

REALTORS[®] oppose overly restrictive "bright line" legislative proposals that explicitly limit GSEs business to the secondary markets, strictly defined. Such a test would instantaneously preclude many of the GSEs' existing products and activities that were designed to increase

access to mortgage credit, lower the costs of homeownership, and foster innovations in home financing.

For example, the "bright line" provision would seriously hinder (and possible prohibit) the array of mission-related, consumer outreach activities by lenders and housing counselors that are supported by the GSEs. The GSE-designed counseling and education programs that help lenders, mortgage brokers, REALTORS[®], and housing counseling agencies determine a consumer's financial readiness for homeownership are technically on the "wrong side" of the "bright line" and would be prohibited.

This is just one example of the negative impact such a standard would have on critical components of the housing market. REALTORS[®] urge you to reject the rigidity and arbitrary nature of a statutory "bright line" test.

Portfolio Limits

One of the most widely debated GSE reform issues has been the size of the portfolios currently held by the GSEs and whether these portfolios contribute to the GSEs' mission. Then Federal Reserve Board Chairman Alan Greenspan was one of the most vocal advocates of legislative proposals to shrink the size of the GSEs' retained portfolios. Chairman Greenspan and others have argued that the size of the portfolios, together with the perceived incentives for the GSEs to pursue portfolio growth, increase the possibility of GSE insolvency and destabilization of our nation's financial markets.

Significantly, those advocating retained portfolio limitations do not identify any systemic financial risk. Viewed strictly from a systemic risk perspective, GSE retained portfolios, just like the portfolios of the 5 largest banks in the U.S., are vulnerable to interest rate changes and could pose a risk to taxpayers should the enterprise or the bank become insolvent or improperly hedge risk. We do not see a need to impose additional regulatory authority that goes beyond that of bank regulators. REALTORS[®] believe that GSE reform legislation should clearly indicate

that any portfolio standard must be based solely on safety and soundness, and not on any broader concern such as systemic risk.

REALTORS[®] also oppose rigid statutory limits on the GSEs' portfolio size. Instead, we believe a better legislative approach would be to create a sufficiently strong regulatory authority over capital that would limit portfolio risk and may also moderate portfolio growth, when appropriate.

While it is obviously important to consider the safety and soundness implications of GSE portfolio size and the associated risks, we would ask that the Congress not ignore the advantages that portfolio holdings and size have on mission-related activities and housing markets. The GSEs point out that the returns earned on retained portfolios help support the enterprises' affordable housing programs and also contribute to the availability of financing for low-income borrowers. For example, in testimony before the House Financial Services Committee last spring, Freddie Mac indicated that about two-thirds of its retained portfolio supported affordable housing and first-time homebuyers.

Simply stated, REALTORS[®] oppose portfolio limits imposed just for the sake of shrinking the GSE mission. Portfolio limits should not be prescribed in statute. Instead, we believe the portfolios should be regulated by the GSEs from a risk perspective, and the regulator should determine if one or both of the GSEs' retained portfolios affect safety and soundness.

Conclusion

The National Association of REALTORS[®] shares the belief of our industry partners that Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Bank System are integral components of this nation's highly successful housing finance system. Homebuyers depend on the secondary mortgage market to supply a continued and stable source of funding for single-family and multifamily housing.

NAR believes legislation to reform the housing GSEs should be principally focused on safety and soundness regulation and expanding the role of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to provide liquidity to the secondary market based on permanent higher conforming loan limits. We hope that Congress can reach a consensus on GSE reform, so that all in the housing industry can focus our efforts on the full range of challenges that lie ahead. The National Association of REALTORS[®] pledges to work with the Senate to enact GSE reform legislation that achieves our mutual goals and protects the vibrancy, liquidity and evolution of the housing finance system.