

# National Flood Insurance Program

## Congressional Action Needed

- 5i hœcf]mzcf hYB UjcbU: `ccX=bg fubWdrc[flâ fb: =DLy dlfYgcb` Cxdlâ Vfr` \$Z&S%+`
- I f[YhY< ci gYcZF YdfYgblUj Ys lc Vf]b[ i dUbdUg hY&g h7Ybh fm B: =DFYZfa DUWU YfjbW Xggj Yb V]`g.Uih YZfgicddcfi b]mñ
- I f[YhYcGbuYlc Tâ YbXG%)%z]bfcXl WXVm7\Ufa Ub 7fudc fF!=8L UbXFU\_b]b[ A Ya Vfr6fckb fB!C < Llc ]bWXYUWgglc dfj UYâ Uf\_Yh ]bg fubW(seYG)\* `YckIzGk Y`Uga UYa Udd]b[ UbXchYf\_Ym fYZfa glc gfb] hYb hYg: j YbWcZhYB: =D`

## Congressional Actions To Date

- HAY< ci gY: ]bUWU Gfj Wg7ca a ]HY`UgdUgXgY Yb V]`gk \]W fU hœcf]n]UbXYZfa B: =D` B5F g ddcf]hYgV]`gUbXh YrIF Y dWXXlc VYwa VbYX]brc < F`& +(z]H Y&g h7Ybh fm `ccXFYZfa 5WzVZcfYUZccfj chY
- GbUY6Ub\_b] `7ca a ]HY`YUXfg\Uj Y]bfcXl WXG%)%zU\*!mUf` Y hbgcb V]`k ]h fYUj Yrâ ]bcf fYZfa g/hYV]`WfYbhnXcYgbc h UXYggUWgglc dfj UYâ Uf\_Yh]bg fubWZcf a U\_YchYf\_Yr fYZfa g g ddcf]XVnB 5F dc ]W]G%)% \Gbc hnh VYb WbgXYFXVn h Y GbUY6Ub\_b] `7ca a ]HY`
- GbUcfcg< Y Yf`f]B ]UbXhYg fB ]A HLUbXFYdg`FcgfF!: @LbX 7Ugcf fB! : @L Uj YfY]bfcXl WXhY": `cX=bg fubW A Uf\_YhDUf]m UbXA cXfb]hUjcb 5W fG)\* ` # < F % &&Llc fYl WUff]Yfglc dfj UY ZccX]bg fubW`H YgYdfcj ]gcbgUYWfYbhn]bWXX]b hY< ci gY `7ca a ]HYG]`Vi hbc h hYg bUY7ca a ]HYV]`

## What To Tell Your Representatives And Senators

### Long-term Reauthorization Is Critical

- Don't let NFIP lapse.
- Each lapse costs 40,000 property sales per month.
- Without reauthorization, NFIP cannot issue or renew policies in 22,000 communities where flood insurance is required for a mortgage.

### Accurate Flood Maps Are Essential

- NFIP should use modern mapping technology to produce building-specific risk assessments.
- Currently, property owners bear the burden of amending the maps to remove low-risk buildings from the floodplain.
- Map amendments require property owners to buy 25,000 land surveys each year at \$500 each.
- The current method of flood mapping and amendment is inefficient when states are using light detection and ranging (LiDAR) to collect the data for whole neighborhoods at once.

### Risk Mitigation Keeps Rates Affordable

- The best way to keep NFIP rates reasonable is to reduce the risk.
- Elevating a property by two feet can cut flood insurance premiums by as much as two-thirds.
- U.S. government spends \$1.4 billion a year on grants to property owners to repair flood damage.

### Risk Mitigation Keeps Rates Affordable (continued)

- Mitigating, elevating or relocating these properties would save taxpayers \$4 for every \$1 spent.
- Currently, property owners cannot access mitigation grant dollars until after the property floods despite it being more cost effective to elevate or relocate beforehand.

### Private Market Options Must Be Included

- Attach the "Flood Insurance Market Parity and Modernization Act" (HR 1422/S. 563) to the NFIP Reauthorization Package; this bill was unanimously adopted (419-0) by the House last year.
- NFIP premiums are based on national averages, so half of policyholders pay too much and half pay too little in premiums.
- Enabling consumers to meet federal requirements with a private plan offers an alternative to overpriced NFIP policies.
- There is a considerable and growing private market that is offering better coverage at a lower cost than the NFIP.

### Issue Background

The NFIP was created to provide incentives for communities to rebuild to higher standards and steer development away from flood zones. In exchange, communities gain access to flood maps, mitigation assistance and subsidized insurance to prepay for future damage and recover more quickly from flooding. However, the program was never designed to absorb the catastrophic losses of the last decade including Katrina (2005), Sandy (2008) and Baton Rouge (2016). As a result, NFIP has borrowed \$25 billion from the Treasury and is making interest-only payments of \$400 million a year.

The NFIP was last up for reauthorization in 2008. There were 18 short-term extensions and a two-month shutdown before Congress reauthorized the program in 2012.

### Opposing/Supporting Viewpoints

- **NFIP critics argue** that the federal government should not be in the business of flood insurance and it is time to privatize the NFIP. **NFIP supporters** respond that the private market cannot guarantee access to affordable flood insurance for all 5 million NFIP policies.
- **Flood mapping critics say** "scrap the maps" and let the private sector do it. **Mapping advocates say** that the current maps are developed by the private sector and without them, communities, lenders and property owners could not determine where to build, lend or buy.
- **Private market critics believe** that private insurers will "cherry pick" the low-risk properties from NFIP. **NFIP supporters counter** that the private market is targeting high-risk, subsidized properties that are net revenue losers for the NFIP.
- **OLWLDWLRBULWLFVDUM** taxpayer dollars should be invested in flood-prone properties. Advocates note that US taxpayers are already spending billions on repairing flooded homes and elevating or relocating those homes would be more cost effective.